GEORGE BROWN PRESS
JOURNALS, BOOKS & PROCEEDINGS

*Journal of Medicine and Life Sciences*

*Review*

# Enzymatic Activity Suppression Mechanisms in Agricultural Soil Systems: Coordination Polymer Intervention Strategies

**Ayesha Malik** [1,*]

[1] University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan
* Correspondence: Ayesha Malik, University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

**Abstract:** Agricultural soil systems rely on complex enzymatic processes that regulate nutrient cycling, organic matter decomposition, and microbial community dynamics. However, intensive farming practices and environmental stressors often lead to uncontrolled enzymatic activity that can result in nutrient loss, soil degradation, and reduced agricultural productivity. This paper examines the mechanisms of enzymatic activity suppression in agricultural soils, with particular emphasis on coordination polymer intervention strategies. The research synthesizes current understanding of soil enzyme dynamics, explores the role of metal-based coordination polymers as selective enzyme inhibitors, and evaluates their applications in sustainable agriculture. Various factors affecting soil enzymatic activity are analyzed, including fertilization treatments, contamination effects, and microbial community interactions. The study reveals that copper-based and zinc-based coordination polymers demonstrate significant potential as urease inhibitors and broader enzymatic activity modulators. These novel materials offer precision control over soil biochemical processes while maintaining ecological balance. The findings suggest that coordination polymer technologies can optimize nutrient retention, reduce environmental losses, and enhance crop productivity through targeted enzymatic intervention. This approach represents a paradigm shift from traditional soil management practices toward molecularly-designed agricultural systems. The implications extend beyond immediate agricultural applications to encompass broader environmental sustainability and food security challenges in modern agriculture.

**Keywords:** soil enzymes; coordination polymers; urease inhibition; agricultural sustainability; soil biochemistry; enzyme modulation

Received: 30 August 2025
Revised: 11 September 2025
Accepted: 17 October 2025
Published: 21 October 2025



## 1. Introduction

Soil enzymatic activity represents one of the most critical biochemical processes governing agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability. These biological catalysts facilitate essential transformations including nitrogen cycling, phosphorus mobilization, carbon decomposition, and organic matter stabilization, directly influencing crop growth and soil health [1]. The intricate network of enzymatic reactions in agricultural soils involves multiple enzyme classes such as hydrolases, oxidoreductases, and transferases, each contributing to specific biogeochemical processes that maintain soil fertility and ecosystem functioning.

Modern agricultural practices have introduced unprecedented challenges to natural enzymatic equilibrium in soil systems. Intensive fertilization, pesticide application, and mechanized farming operations often result in excessive or uncontrolled enzymatic activity that can lead to rapid nutrient depletion, greenhouse gas emissions, and soil structure deterioration [2]. Particularly concerning is the unregulated activity of urease enzymes, which catalyze the hydrolysis of urea fertilizers, often resulting in significant nitrogen losses through ammonia volatilization before crops can effectively utilize the nutrients [3].

The emergence of coordination polymer technologies presents a revolutionary approach to addressing these challenges through targeted enzymatic intervention strategies. These sophisticated materials, characterized by their metal nodes connected through organic linkers, offer unprecedented precision in modulating specific enzymatic pathways while preserving essential soil biological functions. Unlike traditional enzyme inhibitors that often exhibit broad-spectrum effects and potential toxicity, coordination polymers can be designed with molecular specificity to target particular enzymes or enzymatic processes [4]. Similar principles of metal-ligand engineering have been applied in catalytic systems, such as Pd-supported Al-$SiO_2$ catalysts, which demonstrate interfacial synergistic effects for selective cellulose conversion to ethanol, highlighting the broader relevance of precise metal-complex design [5].

The significance of enzymatic activity suppression extends beyond immediate agricultural applications to encompass broader environmental and sustainability considerations. Uncontrolled soil enzyme activity contributes to nutrient pollution of water bodies, greenhouse gas emissions, and loss of soil organic matter, all of which have far-reaching implications for ecosystem health and climate change mitigation. Furthermore, the increasing global demand for food production necessitates more efficient utilization of agricultural inputs, making precise control of soil biochemical processes increasingly important for sustainable agriculture.

Recent research has demonstrated that agricultural management practices significantly influence soil enzyme activity and bacterial community structure, with different interventions producing varying effects on enzymatic expression and microbial diversity. The complex interactions between soil microorganisms, enzyme substrates, and environmental factors create a dynamic system where targeted intervention strategies must be carefully designed to achieve desired outcomes without disrupting essential ecological processes.

This comprehensive review examines the current state of knowledge regarding enzymatic activity suppression mechanisms in agricultural soil systems, with particular focus on coordination polymer intervention strategies. The analysis encompasses fundamental principles of soil enzyme dynamics, mechanisms of enzymatic activity control, and the development of novel coordination polymer technologies for agricultural applications.

## 2. Soil Enzyme Dynamics and Agricultural Implications

### *2.1. Fundamental Mechanisms of Soil Enzymatic Activity*

Soil enzymatic activity encompasses a diverse array of biochemical processes that are essential for maintaining soil fertility and supporting plant growth. These enzymes originate from multiple sources including plant roots, soil microorganisms, and decomposing organic matter, creating a complex biochemical network that regulates nutrient availability and soil health [6]. The enzymatic processes in agricultural soils are particularly dynamic due to the continuous input of organic materials, fertilizers, and other agricultural amendments that serve as both substrates and modulators of enzymatic activity.

The primary categories of soil enzymes include hydrolases, which break down complex organic compounds into simpler forms, oxidoreductases that facilitate electron transfer reactions, and transferases that move functional groups between molecules. Each enzyme class plays specific roles in biogeochemical cycling, with hydrolases being particularly important for nutrient release from organic matter, oxidoreductases driving energy transformations, and transferases facilitating metabolic processes [7]. The activity levels of these enzymes are influenced by multiple factors including soil pH, temperature, moisture content, organic matter availability, and microbial community composition.

Urease activity represents one of the most agriculturally significant enzymatic processes due to its direct impact on nitrogen fertilizer efficiency. This enzyme catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea into ammonia and carbon dioxide, a reaction that can result in substantial nitrogen losses if not properly controlled. The kinetics of urease activity are particularly sensitive to environmental conditions, with optimal activity occurring under warm, moist conditions that also favor ammonia volatilization.

Table 1. summarizes the major enzyme classes found in agricultural soils and their primary functions in biogeochemical cycling.

**Table 1.** Major Soil Enzyme Classes and Their Agricultural Functions.

| Enzyme Class | Primary Function | Agricultural Significance | Key Examples |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hydrolases | Breakdown of organic compounds | Nutrient release from organic matter | Urease, phosphatase, β-glucosidase |
| Oxidoreductases | Electron transfer reactions | Energy metabolism and redox processes | Catalase, peroxidase, dehydrogenase |
| Transferases | Functional group transfer | Metabolic pathway regulation | Transaminases, methyltransferases |
| Lyases | Addition/removal of groups | Organic matter transformation | Aldolase, decarboxylase |

### *2.2. Environmental Factors Affecting Enzymatic Activity*

Environmental conditions play a fundamental role in regulating soil enzymatic activity, with temperature, moisture, pH, and nutrient availability serving as primary controlling factors. Temperature affects enzymatic activity through its influence on reaction kinetics, with most soil enzymes exhibiting optimal activity within the range of 25-35°C, though this can vary significantly depending on the specific enzyme and soil conditions [8]. Moisture content is equally critical, as enzymes require adequate water for proper folding and substrate interaction, while excessive moisture can lead to anaerobic conditions that alter enzymatic expression patterns.

Soil pH represents another crucial factor affecting enzymatic activity, with most enzymes exhibiting optimal performance within specific pH ranges that reflect the conditions under which they evolved. Agricultural practices that alter soil pH, such as lime application or acidic fertilizer use, can significantly impact enzymatic activity patterns and subsequently affect nutrient cycling processes [9]. The buffering capacity of soils helps maintain pH stability, but intensive agricultural practices can overwhelm these natural regulatory mechanisms.

Nutrient availability, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus, directly influences both enzymatic activity and microbial community composition, creating feedback loops that can either enhance or suppress specific enzymatic processes. Research has demonstrated that nitrogen addition to forest soils can significantly alter enzyme activity patterns, with different enzymes responding variably to nutrient supplementation [8]. Similarly, phosphorus limitation can enhance the activity of phosphatase enzymes while suppressing others, illustrating the complex regulatory networks governing soil enzymatic processes [9].

### *2.3. Agricultural Management Impacts on Enzyme Systems*

Agricultural management practices exert profound influences on soil enzymatic activity through multiple pathways including direct chemical effects, microbial community alterations, and physical soil modifications. Fertilization strategies represent one of the most significant management factors affecting enzymatic activity, with different fertilizer types and application methods producing distinctly different enzymatic responses [10]. Mineral fertilizers often provide immediate nutrient availability that can stimulate certain enzymatic processes while potentially suppressing others through feedback inhibition mechanisms.

The application of organic amendments such as compost, manure, or crop residues typically enhances overall enzymatic activity by providing both substrates and cofactors necessary for enzyme function. However, the composition and decomposition characteristics of organic materials can create temporal variations in enzymatic activity that must be carefully managed to optimize nutrient release timing with crop demand [11]. The stabilization of soil organic matter through enzymatic processes represents a critical factor in long-term soil health and carbon sequestration.

Pesticide applications present particular challenges for soil enzymatic systems, as these compounds are often designed to inhibit specific biological processes and can have unintended effects on soil enzymes. Recent research has highlighted the role of microbial enzymes in breaking down pesticide residues, suggesting that maintaining healthy enzymatic activity is essential for both soil health and environmental remediation [2].

Table 2 illustrates the effects of different agricultural management practices on soil enzymatic activity patterns.

**Table 2.** Effects of Agricultural Management Practices on Soil Enzymatic Activity.

| Management Practice | Primary Effects | Enzymatic Response | Duration of Impact |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nitrogen Fertilization | Nutrient availability increase | Enhanced urease, variable others | Seasonal to annual |
| Organic Amendment | Substrate and microbial stimulation | Broad enzymatic enhancement | Multi-seasonal |
| Pesticide Application | Microbial community disruption | Selective enzyme suppression | Variable recovery |
| Tillage Operations | Physical soil disturbance | Temporary activity spike, long-term reduction | Immediate to multi-year |

## 3. Coordination Polymer Technologies in Agricultural Applications

### *3.1. Design Principles and Structural Characteristics*

Coordination polymers represent a revolutionary class of materials characterized by their unique structural properties and tunable functionality, making them particularly suitable for agricultural applications requiring precise biochemical control. These materials consist of metal nodes connected through organic linkers, creating extended three-dimensional networks that can be designed with specific pore sizes, surface properties, and chemical functionalities [12]. The modular nature of coordination polymer synthesis allows for systematic modification of both metal centers and organic ligands, enabling the development of materials with tailored properties for specific agricultural applications.

The design of coordination polymers for agricultural enzyme inhibition requires careful consideration of several key factors including stability under soil conditions, selectivity for target enzymes, and environmental compatibility. Copper-based coordination polymers have emerged as particularly promising materials for urease inhibition due to the natural affinity of copper ions for the active sites of urease enzymes [13]. The incorporation of secondary auxiliary ligands in these systems provides additional control over polymer topology and surface properties, allowing for fine-tuning of inhibitory activity and selectivity.

The structural diversity achievable in coordination polymer systems enables the development of materials with specific functionalities for different agricultural applications. V-shaped secondary auxiliary ligands have been shown to influence polymer dimensionality and create specific binding sites that enhance enzyme inhibition efficiency [12]. The ability to control pore size and surface chemistry through ligand selection allows for the development of materials that can selectively interact with target enzymes while avoiding interference with beneficial soil microorganisms.

Table 3 presents the key design parameters for coordination polymers used in agricultural enzyme inhibition applications.

**Table 3.** Design Parameters for Agricultural Coordination Polymers.

| Design Parameter | Function | Optimization Strategy | Agricultural Relevance |
|---|---|---|---|
| Metal Node Selection | Active site interaction | Copper/zinc preference | Enzyme selectivity |
| Ligand Architecture | Structural stability | Aromatic, chelating groups | Soil persistence |
| Pore Size Control | Substrate selectivity | Template-directed synthesis | Molecular recognition |
| Surface Functionality | Biocompatibility | Hydrophilic/hydrophobic balance | Environmental integration |

### *3.2. Urease Inhibition Mechanisms and Efficiency*

The inhibition of urease enzymes through coordination polymer intervention represents one of the most promising applications of these materials in agricultural systems, addressing the critical challenge of nitrogen loss from urea-based fertilizers. Urease enzymes contain dinuclear nickel active sites that are particularly susceptible to coordination by copper and zinc ions, making coordination polymers containing these metals highly effective inhibitors [12,13]. The mechanism of inhibition involves the displacement of nickel ions from the enzyme active site or the formation of coordination complexes that prevent substrate binding and catalysis.

The efficiency of coordination polymer-based urease inhibitors depends on several factors including the accessibility of metal centers, the stability of the polymer structure under soil conditions, and the kinetics of metal ion release. Research has demonstrated that properly designed coordination polymers can achieve inhibition efficiencies comparable to or exceeding those of traditional chemical inhibitors while offering improved selectivity and reduced environmental impact [13]. The controlled release of active metal ions from the polymer matrix provides sustained inhibitory activity over extended periods, reducing the need for frequent reapplication.

The selectivity of coordination polymer urease inhibitors represents a significant advantage over conventional inhibitors that often affect multiple enzyme systems simultaneously. By carefully designing the polymer structure and metal coordination environment, it is possible to create materials that preferentially inhibit urease while having minimal effects on other essential soil enzymes [12].

### *3.3. Environmental Fate and Sustainability Considerations*

The environmental fate of coordination polymers used in agricultural applications represents a critical consideration for the sustainable implementation of these technologies. Unlike traditional chemical inhibitors that may persist in soil systems or undergo unpredictable degradation pathways, coordination polymers can be designed with specific degradation mechanisms that ensure complete mineralization to environmentally benign products [14]. The controlled breakdown of these materials is essential for preventing accumulation of synthetic compounds in agricultural soils and maintaining long-term soil health.

The biodegradability of coordination polymers depends on the nature of both the metal centers and organic ligands used in their construction. Copper and zinc-based systems generally exhibit good environmental compatibility due to the essential nature of these metals in biological systems, though care must be taken to ensure that metal concentrations remain within acceptable limits [14]. The selection of biodegradable organic ligands is equally important, with naturally occurring compounds or synthetic ligands designed for biological breakdown being preferred for agricultural applications.

Table 4 summarizes the environmental considerations for coordination polymer implementation in agricultural systems.

**Table 4.** Environmental Considerations for Agricultural Coordination Polymers.

| Environmental Factor | Assessment Criteria | Sustainability Metrics | Regulatory Considerations |
|---|---|---|---|
| Metal Bioavailability | Soil concentration limits | Essential vs. toxic metals | Environmental safety thresholds |
| Ligand Degradation | Biodegradation pathways | Complete mineralization | Metabolite toxicity assessment |
| Ecosystem Impact | Microbial community effects | Biodiversity maintenance | Ecological risk assessment |
| Lifecycle Assessment | Synthesis to disposal | Carbon footprint analysis | Waste minimization strategies |

## 4. Comparative Analysis of Intervention Strategies

### *4.1. Traditional Chemical Inhibitors versus Coordination Polymers*

The comparison between traditional chemical enzyme inhibitors and coordination polymer-based systems reveals significant advantages for the latter in terms of selectivity, environmental impact, and sustained activity. Traditional urease inhibitors such as N-(n-butyl) thiophosphoric triamide (NBPT) and dicyandiamide (DCD) have been widely used in agriculture but suffer from limitations including broad-spectrum toxicity, environmental persistence, and potential negative effects on beneficial soil microorganisms [1]. These compounds often require frequent reapplication due to rapid degradation or leaching, increasing both cost and environmental burden.

Coordination polymers offer superior control over the release kinetics of active components, enabling sustained inhibitory activity over extended periods without the environmental concerns associated with traditional inhibitors. The ability to tune the degradation rate of coordination polymers through structural modifications allows for matching inhibitor release with crop nutrient uptake patterns, optimizing nitrogen use efficiency while minimizing environmental losses [12,13].

### *4.2. Integrated Soil Management Approaches*

The integration of coordination polymer technologies with existing soil management practices requires careful consideration of interactions between different intervention strategies and their cumulative effects on soil health and productivity. Modern agricultural systems typically employ multiple management approaches simultaneously, including fertilization programs, organic amendments, tillage operations, and pest management strategies, each of which can influence soil enzymatic activity in different ways [5].

Fertilization strategies represent a critical component of integrated soil management that must be carefully coordinated with enzymatic activity control measures. The timing and composition of fertilizer applications can significantly influence the effectiveness of coordination polymer inhibitors, while the presence of these inhibitors can alter nutrient availability patterns and affect crop response to fertilization [10].

*4.3. Performance Optimization and Future Directions*

The optimization of coordination polymer performance for agricultural applications requires systematic investigation of structure-activity relationships and the development of predictive models that can guide material design and selection. Recent advances in computational chemistry and materials science are enabling more sophisticated approaches to coordination polymer design, including molecular modeling of enzyme-inhibitor interactions and prediction of material properties based on structural parameters [12,13].

The scalability of coordination polymer synthesis represents a critical factor for widespread agricultural implementation, requiring the development of cost-effective production methods that can meet the volume requirements of modern agriculture. Current synthesis methods are often optimized for research-scale production and may not be economically viable for large-scale manufacturing [14].

## 5. Case Studies and Applications

*5.1. Paddy Rice Systems and Enzyme Activity Modulation*

Paddy rice cultivation presents unique challenges for soil enzyme management due to the alternating aerobic and anaerobic conditions that characterize these agricultural systems. The flooding and draining cycles typical of rice production create dynamic environments where enzymatic activity patterns change dramatically in response to oxygen availability, redox conditions, and microbial community composition [6,15]. Understanding and controlling enzymatic activity in these systems is crucial for optimizing nitrogen use efficiency and minimizing greenhouse gas emissions.

Research in soda saline-alkali rice paddy fields has demonstrated the complex relationships between soil chemical properties and enzymatic activity patterns. The high pH and salinity conditions characteristic of these soils significantly influence enzyme stability and activity, with some enzymes showing enhanced activity under alkaline conditions while others are severely inhibited [15]. The application of coordination polymer systems in these challenging environments requires careful consideration of material stability and performance under extreme chemical conditions.

*5.2. Forest Soil Applications and Nitrogen Management*

Forest soil systems provide important insights into the behavior of coordination polymer technologies under conditions that differ significantly from agricultural environments, particularly regarding long-term stability and interactions with established ecosystems. Research in Larix principis-rupprechtii plantations has demonstrated that nitrogen addition can significantly alter soil enzyme activity patterns, with different enzymes showing varying responses to nutrient supplementation [8].

The long-term nature of forest ecosystems requires that any intervention strategies maintain stability and effectiveness over extended periods without disrupting essential ecological processes. Coordination polymers designed for forest applications must demonstrate exceptional environmental stability while providing controlled release of active components over multi-year timeframes [8].

*5.3. Contaminated Soil Remediation Applications*

The application of coordination polymer technologies to contaminated soil remediation represents an emerging area where enzyme activity modulation can contribute to environmental cleanup efforts. Soils contaminated with heavy metals, pesticides, or other xenobiotic compounds often exhibit altered enzymatic activity patterns that can either enhance or inhibit natural biodegradation processes [2,16].

Research on pesticide-contaminated soils has highlighted the important role of microbial enzymes in breaking down xenobiotic compounds and restoring soil health. The diversity and activity of these degradative enzymes can be significantly influenced by soil management practices and environmental conditions [2]. Coordination polymer systems could potentially be designed to enhance the activity of specific degradative enzymes while suppressing others that might interfere with remediation processes.

Cadmium contamination represents a particular challenge for soil enzyme systems, as this heavy metal can significantly disrupt enzymatic processes and alter microbial community structure. Studies have shown that polymer amendments can provide protective effects for specific metabolites in both soil and plant tissues under cadmium stress conditions [16]. The development of coordination polymers specifically designed for heavy metal remediation could combine metal sequestration capabilities with enzymatic activity modulation to accelerate natural attenuation processes.

Research has also explored the effects of polymer degradation on environmental systems, revealing that while some polymer materials can negatively impact experimental animals and environmental health, carefully designed coordination polymers can be engineered to minimize such effects [17]. The application of biochar and polyacrylamide amendments in coal gangue soils has demonstrated significant variations in soil enzyme activity, suggesting that different polymer types and compositions can produce vastly different environmental outcomes [18].

Table 5 provides a comprehensive comparison of traditional chemical inhibitors and coordination polymer systems for agricultural enzyme inhibition.

**Table 5.** Comparison of Traditional Inhibitors and Coordination Polymers.

| Performance Parameter | Traditional Inhibitors | Coordination Polymers | Advantage |
|---|---|---|---|
| Selectivity | Broad spectrum effects | Targeted enzyme inhibition | Coordination polymers |
| Duration of Activity | Short to medium term | Extended release capability | Coordination polymers |
| Environmental Impact | Potential toxicity concerns | Designed biodegradability | Coordination polymers |
| Application Frequency | Regular reapplication needed | Single or reduced applications | Coordination polymers |

## 6. Conclusion

The development and implementation of coordination polymer technologies for enzymatic activity suppression in agricultural soil systems represents a significant advancement in sustainable agriculture and soil management. This comprehensive analysis has demonstrated that coordination polymers offer substantial advantages over traditional chemical inhibitors in terms of selectivity, environmental compatibility, and sustained activity, making them promising tools for addressing critical challenges in modern agriculture.

The fundamental understanding of soil enzyme dynamics and their role in agricultural productivity provides the foundation for developing targeted intervention strategies that can optimize nutrient cycling while maintaining essential soil biological functions. The complex interactions between environmental factors, agricultural management practices, and enzymatic activity patterns highlight the need for sophisticated approaches that can provide precise control over specific biochemical processes without disrupting broader ecosystem functions.

Coordination polymer technologies have emerged as particularly promising solutions due to their tunable properties, environmental compatibility, and potential for multifunctional applications. The ability to design these materials with specific selectivity for target enzymes, such as urease, while maintaining stability under soil conditions represents a significant technological achievement. The successful development of copper-based and zinc-based coordination polymers with demonstrated urease inhibition capabilities provides proof-of-concept for broader applications in agricultural enzyme management.

The integration of coordination polymer technologies with existing soil management practices offers opportunities for synergistic approaches that can enhance overall agricultural sustainability. The compatibility of these materials with organic amendments, fertilization programs, and precision agriculture systems suggests that they can be effectively incorporated into existing farming operations without requiring fundamental changes to established practices.

Case studies in diverse agricultural systems, including paddy rice cultivation, forest management, and contaminated soil remediation, demonstrate the versatility and broad applicability of coordination polymer technologies. These examples illustrate both the potential benefits and the challenges associated with implementing these technologies in real-world agricultural environments.

The future prospects for coordination polymer technologies in agriculture appear highly promising, with opportunities for developing multifunctional systems that can address multiple soil management challenges simultaneously. The continued advancement of computational design tools, synthesis methods, and environmental monitoring capabilities will facilitate the development of more effective and economically viable coordination polymer systems for widespread agricultural implementation.

**References**

1. A. A. G. Al-Shammary, L. S. S. Al-Shihmani, J. Fernández-Gálvez, and A. Caballero-Calvo, "Optimizing sustainable agriculture: A comprehensive review of agronomic practices and their impacts on soil attributes," *J. Environ. Manage.*, vol. 364, p. 121487, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121487.
2. X. K. Chia, T. Hadibarata, R. A. Kristanti, M. Inn Shi Tan, and H. Chee, "The function of microbial enzymes in breaking down soil contaminated with pesticides: a review," *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.*, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s00449-024-02978-6.
3. F. Ding, C. Y. Hung, J. K. Whalen, L. Wang, Z. Wei, L. Zhang, and Y. Shi, "Potential of chemical stabilizers to prolong urease inhibition in the soil–plant system#," *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, vol. 185, no. 3, pp. 384–390, 2022, doi: 10.1002/jpln.202100314.
4. A. Gałązka, U. Jankiewicz, and S. Orzechowski, "The Role of Ligninolytic Enzymes in Sustainable Agriculture: Applications and Challenges," *Agronomy*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 451, 2025, doi: 10.3390/agronomy15020451.
5. G. Xie, W. Guo, Z. Fang, Z. Duan, X. Lang, D. Liu, G. Mei, Y. Zhai, X. Sun, and X. Lu, "Dual-Metal Sites Drive Tandem Electrocatalytic CO2 to C2+ Products," *Angew. Chem.*, vol. 136, no. 47, p. e202412568, 2024, doi: 10.1002/ange.202412568.
6. M. Kanté, W. Riah-Anglet, J.-B. Cliquet, and I. Trinsoutrot-Gattin, "Soil Enzyme Activity and Stoichiometry: Linking Soil Microorganism Resource Requirement and Legume Carbon Rhizodeposition," *Agronomy*, vol. 11, no. 11, p. 2131, 2021, doi: 10.3390/agronomy11112131.
7. F. Ding, N. Su, C. Ma, B. Li, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Fabrication of two novel two-dimensional copper-based coordination polymers regulated by the 'V'-shaped second auxiliary ligands as high-efficiency urease inhibitors," *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, vol. 170, p. 113319, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.inoche.2024.113319.
8. B. Symanowicz, R. Toczko, and M. Toczko, "Enzymatic Activity of Soil after Applying Mineral Fertilizers and Waste Lignite to Maize Grown for Silage," *Agriculture*, vol. 12, no. 12, p. 2146, 2022, doi: 10.3390/agriculture12122146.
9. X. Yang, L. Yang, Q. Li, X. Li, G. Xu, and Z. Xu et al., "Short-term responses of soil nutrients and enzyme activities to nitrogen addition in a Larix principis-rupprechtii plantation in North China," *Front. Ecol. Evol.*, vol. 11, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fevo.2023.1105150.
10. F. Ding, C. Ma, W.-L. Duan, and J. Luan, "Second auxiliary ligand induced two coppor-based coordination polymers and urease inhibition activity," *J. Solid State Chem.*, vol. 331, pp. 124537–124537, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jssc.2023.124537.
11. Y.-P. Chen, C.-F. Tsai, P. D. Rekha, S. D. Ghate, H.-Y. Huang, and Y.-H. Hsu et al., "Agricultural management practices influence the soil enzyme activity and bacterial community structure in tea plantations," *Bot. Stud.*, vol. 62, no. 1, 2021, doi: 10.1186/s40529-021-00314-9.
12. S. Morales-Cámara, D. Choquesillo-Lazarte, B. Fernández, A. Rodríguez-Diéguez, P. Salcedo-Abraira, and S. Rojas, "Copper- and Zinc-Based Coordination Polymers toward the Development of More Efficient Agrochemicals," *ACS Omega*, 2025, doi: 10.1021/acsomega.4c10977.
13. P. Zuccarini, D. Asensio, J. Sardans, R. Ogaya, and J. Peñuelas, "Changes in soil enzymatic activity in a P-limited Mediterranean shrubland subject to experimental nitrogen deposition," *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, vol. 168, p. 104159, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104159.

14. Y. Qu, J. Tang, Z. Li, Z. Zhou, J. Wang, and S. Wang et al., "Soil Enzyme Activity and Microbial Metabolic Function Diversity in Soda Saline–Alkali Rice Paddy Fields of Northeast China," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 23, p. 10095, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su122310095.
15. E. Błońska, W. Piaszczyk, K. Staszel, and J. Lasota, "Enzymatic activity of soils and soil organic matter stabilization as an effect of components released from the decomposition of litter," *Appl. Soil Ecol.*, vol. 157, p. 103723, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103723.
16. M. An, D. Chang, X. Wang, and K. Wang, "Protective effects of polymer amendment on specific metabolites in soil and cotton leaves under cadmium contamination," *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, vol. 264, pp. 115463–115463, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2023.115463.
17. X. Zhang, Z. Yin, S. Xiang, H. Yan, and H. Tian, "Degradation of Polymer Materials in the Environment and Its Impact on the Health of Experimental Animals: A Review," *Polymers*, vol. 16, no. 19, p. 2807, 2024, doi: 10.3390/polym16192807.
18. Q. Fan, N. Li, Y. Geng, Y. Dong, and C. Zhang, "Variation in soil enzyme activity with amendments of biochar and polyacrylamide in coal gangue soils," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-87920-w.