Article

Study on the Positioning of Training Objectives and Development Strategies in Higher Education Art Programs

Ji Zhao [1,2,*]

1 University Islam Melaka, Malacca, Malaysia
2 Xinxiang University, Xinxiang, Henan, China
* Correspondence: Ji Zhao, University Islam Melaka, Malacca, Malaysia; Xinxiang University, Xinxiang, Henan, China

Abstract: This paper takes higher education art programs as its research subject, employing methods such as literature review, theoretical exploration, and comparative case analysis to investigate the issues and underlying logic concerning the positioning of training objectives and development strategies in contemporary higher education art programs. The study begins by analyzing the new demands placed on art talents by modern society and the current state of art education in higher institutions. It then constructs a theoretical framework supported by pedagogy, art aesthetics, and systems theory. The findings reveal an urgent need to shift the training objectives of higher education art programs from a focus on singular skill transmission to the cultivation of comprehensive competencies. Furthermore, multidimensional strategies—including curriculum reform, faculty development, university-industry collaboration, and policy support—are identified as critical pathways to facilitate the transformation and upgrading of higher education art programs. This paper aims to provide theoretical references and practical guidance for the development of art education in higher institutions, promoting an effective alignment between art education and societal needs.

Keywords: higher education art programs; training objectives; development strategies; theoretical framework; case analysis

1. Introduction

Amid the waves of globalization and digitalization, higher education art programs are facing unprecedented opportunities and challenges. Traditional art education models, which emphasize technical training and skill transmission, are increasingly inadequate in meeting the diverse demands of the new era for well-rounded art talents. Today, society expects art professionals to possess innovative capabilities, interdisciplinary integration skills, and practical application abilities, necessitating a shift in higher education art programs from singular skill development to holistic competency enhancement. This paper seeks to explore the repositioning of training objectives and development strategies in higher education art programs. By leveraging theoretical discussions and analyses of typical cases, it underscores the importance of establishing an art education model that integrates interdisciplinary approaches and combines industry, academia, and research. The study aims to offer theoretical foundations and practical guidance for educational reform in this field.

2. Related Studies and Current Status

2.1. Research Status of Training Objectives in Art Education at Home and Abroad

In recent years, scholars both domestically and internationally have deepened their exploration of training objectives in art education, with research perspectives spanning educational philosophies, curriculum design, faculty development, and the alignment of art with societal needs. In China, studies often focus on integrating modern educational

concepts and interdisciplinary trends into the foundation of traditional art education. Many scholars argue that while traditional art education excels at cultivating students' professional skills, it frequently overlooks the development of innovation, critical thinking, and comprehensive competencies. Consequently, domestic research primarily examines how training objectives in art education should transition from mere skill transmission to fostering well-rounded qualities, exploring the organic integration of artistic aesthetics, cultural heritage, and social practice in this process [1]. For instance, numerous studies suggest that future higher education art programs should prioritize students' individualized development and the establishment of diverse evaluation mechanisms to better adapt to the rapid growth of the cultural and creative industries. In contrast, research on training objectives in art education abroad began earlier and adopts a more varied perspective. Scholars in Europe, the United States, and other regions widely emphasize the role of art education in fostering students' innovation, critical thinking, and cross-cultural communication skills. Beyond technical training, they stress the importance of art education in cultivating comprehensive humanistic literacy and a sense of social responsibility. Additionally, international studies often connect art education to socioeconomic contexts, technological advancements, and globalization trends, exploring how educational reforms can achieve deep integration between art, technology, commerce, and social practice. Numerous successful cases worldwide demonstrate that open curriculum designs, interdisciplinary collaboration, and international exchanges can effectively enhance students' practical abilities and overall competencies, further aligning art education with societal demands. Overall, research on training objectives in art education, both in China and abroad, exhibits distinct emphases in theoretical exploration and practical application [2]. Domestic studies tend to focus on breakthroughs amid the integration of traditional culture and modern educational concepts, while international research has accumulated richer experience in fostering diverse competencies and integrating social feedback. These studies provide vital theoretical support and practical references for repositioning the training objectives of higher education art programs, pointing the way toward further reform and innovation [3].

2.2. Studies on Development Strategies for Higher Education Art Programs

In recent years, development strategies for higher education art programs have become a focal point for both academia and practitioners, with research centering on how multifaceted initiatives can drive the modernization and internationalization of art education. In China, scholars generally agree that advancing the transformation and upgrading of higher education art programs requires comprehensive exploration in areas such as curriculum reform, faculty development, resource integration, and institutional support. Some studies propose that establishing interdisciplinary and open curriculum systems can effectively break down disciplinary barriers and stimulate students' innovative thinking. Additionally, emphasizing university-industry collaboration and the integration of industry, academia, and research can closely connect art education with the cultural and creative industries, enhancing its practicality and adaptability [4]. Abroad, development strategies for art education benefit from more mature theoretical and practical experiences, particularly in personalized student cultivation and cross-cultural exchange. Universities in Europe, the Americas, and beyond commonly adopt flexible teaching models — such as project-based learning, workshops, and international partnerships — emphasizing the cultivation of critical thinking and social responsibility in multicultural contexts. Furthermore, international research focuses on leveraging modern technologies, such as digital media and virtual reality, to expand teaching content and methods, better meeting the demands of the globalized era. In summary, both domestic and international studies highlight a common trend: development strategies for higher education art programs must align closely with contemporary contexts and societal needs, preserving and promoting

the essence of traditional art while continuously incorporating modern educational concepts and technological tools. These studies offer rich theoretical support and practical pathways for the innovative development of higher education art programs under new circumstances, advancing academic discourse on art education reform strategies [5].

3. Analysis of the Positioning of Training Objectives in Higher Education Art Programs

3.1. Survey and Analysis of the Current Situation

A survey of the current state of training objectives in higher education art programs reveals a fundamental conflict between traditional educational models and modern educational demands. On the one hand, many institutions continue to adhere to a model centered on skill training and technical mastery, placing excessive emphasis on refining technical proficiency while neglecting the cultivation of students' innovative capacities, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary competencies. On the other hand, as socioeconomic conditions and the cultural industry evolve, the demand for art talents has become increasingly diverse and multifaceted, posing higher expectations for the training objectives of higher education art programs. Survey findings indicate that some institutions have begun experimenting with project-based teaching and interdisciplinary integration in areas such as curriculum design, faculty development, and university-industry collaboration [6]. However, progress remains limited overall. Additionally, the rapid advancement of information technology has made the integration of digital media with traditional art education an inevitable trend. Yet, in practice, higher education institutions have not yet developed systematic or standardized teaching approaches, resulting in suboptimal outcomes for the application of emerging technologies in art education. In summary, the positioning of training objectives in higher education art programs urgently needs to shift from a focus on singular skill training to the enhancement of comprehensive competencies. This transition would enable a seamless connection between traditional art education and modern educational philosophies, better meeting the contemporary demands for well-rounded art talents [7].

3.2. Theoretical Exploration and Conceptual Development

In the context of the ongoing deepening of reforms in contemporary higher education art programs, theoretical exploration and conceptual development have become vital components in constructing scientifically grounded training objectives. First, when theoretically defining the positioning of training objectives, it is essential to recognize that art education extends beyond the mere transmission of traditional artistic techniques. It serves as a crucial platform for fostering students' innovative spirit, aesthetic awareness, and interdisciplinary integration skills. Traditional educational models emphasize technical training and artistic expression, whereas modern educational philosophies advocate a "student-centered" approach. This shift demands that educational goals preserve cultural heritage while prioritizing the cultivation of students' individuality and creativity. Drawing from goal-oriented teaching theory, higher education art programs must establish a clear developmental trajectory: one that both upholds outstanding national traditions and aligns closely with contemporary needs. By nurturing students' critical thinking, innovative practical abilities, and cross-disciplinary collaboration skills, art education can achieve a fundamental transformation from skill-based instruction to competency-focused development [8]. In this process, constructivist learning theory provides a theoretical foundation, highlighting the importance of learners constructing knowledge in authentic contexts. It encourages students to achieve personal breakthroughs through project-based practice and independent exploration, ultimately fostering a seamless integration of art and practice. Second, the core abilities and qualities that art education should cultivate go beyond artistic techniques to encompass aesthetic judgment, cultural under-

standing, and social responsibility. Higher education art programs should focus on developing students' artistic creativity, aesthetic expression, and interdisciplinary application skills, enabling them to adapt flexibly to diverse cultures and societal changes while forming independent artistic perspectives and innovative thinking. To achieve this, universities should integrate interdisciplinary knowledge into their curricula — such as the fusion of art with technology or commerce — while enhancing training in critical thinking and problem-solving. Through structured practical teaching, workshops, cross-disciplinary collaborations, and international exchanges, students can develop an open and inclusive artistic worldview, deepening their understanding of traditional art and sharpening their grasp of modern artistic trends. Furthermore, cultivating a sense of social responsibility is a key element of these core qualities. Art is not merely an expression of aesthetic experience; it also carries the mission of cultural preservation and social transformation. Higher education art programs should leverage diverse social practices, university-industry partnerships, and cultural activities to help students understand the dynamic interplay between art and society in real-world settings, thereby laying a solid foundation for their future societal contributions. Overall, through theoretical exploration and conceptual development, the positioning of training objectives in higher education art programs should prioritize a systematic and comprehensive approach. This approach balances heritage and innovation, closely integrates theory and practice, and aims to cultivate art talents who possess both robust professional skills and broad interdisciplinary competencies. This not only charts a clear direction for art education reform but also provides a theoretical basis and practical pathways for transforming talent cultivation models in higher education within the context of the new era [9].

3.3. Alignment of Higher Education Art Programs with Societal Needs

In aligning with societal needs, higher education art programs must both preserve the legacy of traditional art education and actively respond to modern society's expectations for diverse and multifaceted artistic talents. With the rapid rise of the cultural and creative industries and the digital economy, society now demands art professionals who not only possess solid artistic skills but also excel in interdisciplinary integration, innovative thinking, and market awareness. Therefore, when positioning training objectives, higher education institutions should fully account for emerging trends driven by socioeconomic shifts, cultural industries, and technological advancements. Through innovations in curriculum design, teaching methods, and practical components, they can effectively bridge artistic theory and practical skills. By leveraging university-industry collaboration and integrated industry-academia-research approaches, institutions can introduce cutting-edge industry insights and real-world case studies, offering students more opportunities to tackle practical societal challenges and enhancing their ability to address complex issues. Furthermore, by incorporating modern information technology and digital media, higher education art programs can expand the scope and format of educational content, meeting the multilayered and multidimensional demands for art talents in the new era [10]. Overall, aligning higher education art programs with societal needs is a systematic endeavor that requires continuous progress in theoretical innovation and practical exploration. This alignment aims to optimize the allocation of educational resources and fundamentally transform talent cultivation models, enabling higher education art programs to better serve national cultural strategies and socioeconomic development.

4. Study on Development Strategies for Higher Education Art Programs

4.1. Reform of Curriculum Systems and Teaching Models

In the context of today's global cultural diversity and rapid technological advancement, higher education art programs face unprecedented opportunities and challenges. To better cultivate well-rounded talents who possess both strong artistic foundations and the ability to meet society's diverse needs, reforming curriculum systems and teaching

models has become particularly urgent. First, in terms of curriculum development, universities should move beyond the traditional model of singular skill training and establish a new curriculum system centered on knowledge integration, capacity building, and innovative practice. On one hand, this involves reevaluating and integrating existing courses to emphasize interdisciplinary connections, blending art theory, technical practice, digital media, and art management into a cohesive whole. On the other hand, incorporating cutting-edge technology and globally advanced concepts — through modular and tiered course offerings — can provide students with more personalized and stratified learning options, catering to diverse professional backgrounds and developmental needs. Course content should not only cover foundational skills like traditional painting and sculpture but also prioritize cultivating students' aesthetic judgment, creative expression, and interdisciplinary integration abilities, achieving a seamless unity of theory and practice. Simultaneously, reforming teaching models is a key measure to drive the transformation of higher education art programs. The traditional lecture-based classroom approach, dominated by instructors, struggles to meet the evolving demands of modern art education. To address this, universities should actively explore diverse teaching models such as project-based learning, seminars, and workshops, which fully engage students' initiative and enthusiasm. For example, by incorporating real-world project cases, industry partnerships, and international exchanges, students can experience the interplay between artistic creation and commercial operations in authentic contexts, honing their ability to solve complex problems. Additionally, leveraging digital teaching platforms and advanced technologies like virtual reality enables a hybrid online-offline teaching model, offering students a more flexible and dynamic learning environment. This not only breaks through spatial and temporal limitations, enhancing teaching efficiency, but also fosters deeper interaction and collaboration among students, instructors, and peers across disciplines and institutions. At the practical level, curriculum and teaching model reforms should closely align with societal needs. Universities must keep pace with trends in the cultural and creative industries and digital economy, regularly updating teaching content and practical components to ensure students' knowledge remains relevant to market demands. Furthermore, through university-industry collaboration, inter-university partnerships, and integrated industry-academia-research initiatives, institutions can create more practical platforms, offering students real-world project training and hands-on experience. This enhances their employability and adaptability to society. Overall, reforming curriculum systems and teaching models is not only an inevitable response to contemporary changes but also a critical pathway to improving educational quality and nurturing innovative art talents. By continually refining course content, innovating teaching approaches, and strengthening practical components, higher education art programs can preserve the essence of traditional art while integrating modern technology, international perspectives, and societal needs, propelling art education toward a higher level of development.

4.2. Faculty Development and Professional Growth

In the context of the deepening reform of college art education, faculty development and professional advancement have become essential for improving educational quality and transforming talent development models. First, colleges must build a teaching team that not only possesses strong professional expertise but also demonstrates robust interdisciplinary competence. Traditional art teachers often focus on technical skill transmission, yet today's art education demands instructors who master not only traditional painting and sculpture but also modern digital media, art management, and cross-disciplinary collaboration. Therefore, when recruiting and selecting teachers, colleges should prioritize candidates with interdisciplinary backgrounds and an international perspective, gradually optimizing their faculty by hiring professionals who bring practical creative experience alongside solid teaching credentials to meet diverse cultivation goals. Secondly, continuous professional development is crucial for enhancing educational quality. Colleges

should establish systematic training and continuing education mechanisms by regularly organizing professional lectures, seminars, and hands-on workshops. Teachers ought to be encouraged to participate in academic exchanges and professional development programs both domestically and internationally, ensuring that they update their knowledge and broaden their academic horizons. Additionally, university-industry cooperation and the integration of production, study, and research provide teachers with opportunities to engage in real projects and artistic creation. This approach aligns theory with practice and effectively matches professional skills with industry needs, while digital teaching methods and modern IT tools offer new platforms for growth. Furthermore, establishing a sound evaluation and incentive system is vital. A multi-level assessment framework based on performance appraisals, teaching evaluations, and academic achievements can stimulate enthusiasm and creativity, while providing opportunities for advancement. Cross-disciplinary collaboration further promotes resource sharing and experience exchange. Drawing on advanced international experiences through cooperative projects and joint training programs will continuously expand teachers' development platforms and global influence.

4.3. University-Industry Collaboration and Resource Integration

As reforms in higher education art programs deepen, university-industry collaboration and resource integration have emerged as key drivers for improving educational quality and innovating talent cultivation models. Universities should proactively move beyond the closed nature of traditional education, establishing cooperative platforms with art and design firms, cultural and creative organizations, art troupes, and social capital to integrate educational resources with industry strengths. By forging long-term, stable university-industry partnerships, institutions can involve industry experts, designers, and leading figures in co-developing courses, conducting joint research, and providing practical guidance. This ensures that the latest industry trends and market needs are promptly reflected in the teaching process, fostering a deep integration of theory and practice. Additionally, universities can collaborate with enterprises to establish internship bases and creative studios, offering students real-world project opportunities and diverse creative platforms. This enhances their practical skills while broadening their career perspectives and innovative thinking. In terms of resource integration, universities should not only consolidate internal strengths across departments, laboratories, and research centers but also actively tap into enterprises' funding, technology, and market networks. By jointly applying for research projects, they can create innovation platforms that integrate industry, academia, and research, facilitating the transformation and dissemination of technological achievements. Furthermore, through university-industry collaboration, faculty gain opportunities to participate in industry projects and stay abreast of the latest developments, enabling them to update teaching content and elevate their professional expertise. This creates a virtuous cycle of teaching and research interaction. Overall, university-industry collaboration and resource integration inject fresh vitality and momentum into higher education art programs. They not only drive reforms in curriculum systems and teaching models but also provide robust support for cultivating versatile art talents who possess professional skills, market acumen, and cross-disciplinary innovation capabilities. This lays a solid foundation for the modernization and internationalization of higher education art programs.

5. Case Study and Comparative Analysis

This chapter presents an in-depth analysis of college art education practices in terms of training goal orientation and development strategies, using Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts as a typical case. It also examines its experiences and shortcomings from an international comparative perspective, offering valuable insights for reform in college art education. As one of the most renowned art institutions in China, Guangzhou Academy

of Fine Arts has achieved remarkable results in exploring training goal orientation and reforming teaching models. The academy adheres to a philosophy of balancing tradition and innovation in its curriculum development. It not only retains systematic training in traditional art techniques but also emphasizes the integration of interdisciplinary knowledge and the application of modern digital media technologies. By offering modular courses, implementing project-based learning, and hosting art exchange events both domestically and internationally, the institution has effectively stimulated students' innovative potential and practical skills. At the same time, the academy actively incorporates industry resources by establishing long-term, stable partnerships with cultural and creative enterprises. Together, they have set up internship bases and creative studios, providing students with real-world creative platforms and market-oriented practical opportunities to ensure that their training objectives closely align with societal needs. In terms of faculty development, Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts places great importance on recruiting teachers with international perspectives and diverse backgrounds. It regularly organizes international academic seminars, study tours, and professional training to enhance teachers' expertise and their ability to deliver cross-disciplinary education. The academy has implemented a multi-dimensional evaluation system that not only assesses academic achievements but also values teaching innovation and social service. This approach has injected continuous development and self-renewal into its teaching team. From an international comparative standpoint, some of the reform measures at Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts share commonalities with the training goal orientations of well-known art institutions in Europe and America. For instance, the Rhode Island School of Design in the United States also emphasizes interdisciplinary collaboration and project-based learning, promoting the overall development of students through close cooperation with industry and extensive international exchanges. In contrast, Guangzhou Academy's exploration of curriculum integration, university-industry cooperation models, and digital teaching methods reflects a distinctive Chinese approach. Its success lies in the organic combination of traditional cultural elements with modern technological means, preserving the humanistic spirit of art education while meeting the contemporary demand for versatile art professionals. However, the case study also reveals certain shortcomings. Although the academy has made notable breakthroughs in training goal orientation, the integration of theoretical research and practical application remains insufficient in some specialized courses, and the pace of updating course content has not entirely kept up with industrial developments. Moreover, there is still room to expand the scope and depth of international exchanges and cross-disciplinary collaborations. How to better incorporate advanced international educational concepts and resources in a globalized context will be an important direction for future reform. Overall, through the construction of a diversified curriculum system, innovative teaching models, strengthened faculty development, and enhanced university-industry cooperation, Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts has successfully transformed its approach from a focus on mere technical training to a comprehensive quality-oriented education model, demonstrating promising development trends. The in-depth analysis and international comparison of this case reveal that promoting the transformation and upgrading of college art education requires the continuous integration of theory and practice, as well as the incorporation of advanced international experiences, in order to cultivate art professionals who are not only technically proficient but also innovative and socially responsible.

6. Conclusion

This study, through methods such as literature review, theoretical exploration, and case analysis, examines the current state and challenges of positioning training objectives and development strategies in higher education art programs. The findings indicate that higher education art programs urgently need to transition from singular skill training to comprehensive competency cultivation. This involves not only preserving the essence of

traditional art but also emphasizing innovation, interdisciplinary integration, and practical application. Strategies such as curriculum reform, faculty development, university-industry collaboration, and resource integration provide effective pathways for educational reform. The case of Guangzhou Academy of Fine Arts demonstrates proactive exploration in integrating theory and practice, fostering international exchanges, and advancing university-industry partnerships. While some shortcomings remain, it offers valuable lessons for other institutions. Looking forward, higher education art programs should build on international best practices to further refine training objectives and development strategies, achieving a deeper alignment with societal needs and laying a solid foundation for cultivating versatile art talents.

References

1. O. Malytska, et al., "Development of art education as a basis for sustainable development of society," *Postmod. Openings*, vol. 13, no. 1 Sup1, pp. 247-265, 2022, doi: 10.18662/po/13.1Sup1/425.

2. K. O'Connor, "Constructivism, curriculum and the knowledge question: tensions and challenges for higher education," *Stud. High. Educ.*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 412-422, 2022, doi: 10.1080/03075079.2020.1750585.

3. S. Mystakidis, A. Christopoulos, and N. Pellas, "A systematic mapping review of augmented reality applications to support STEM learning in higher education," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 1883-1927, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10639-021-10682-1.

4. C. A. Bredow, et al., "To flip or not to flip? A meta-analysis of the efficacy of flipped learning in higher education," *Rev. Educ. Res.*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 878-918, 2021, doi: 10.3102/00346543211019122.

5. E. Jones, et al., "Global social responsibility and the internationalisation of higher education for society," *J. Stud. Int. Educ.*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 330-347, 2021, doi: 10.1177/10283153211031679.

6. M. K. Islam, M. F. Hossain Sarker, and M. S. Islam, "Promoting student-centred blended learning in higher education: A model," *E-Learn. Digit. Media*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 36-54, 2022, doi: 10.1177/20427530211027721.

7. Y.-S. Tsai, et al., "Learning analytics in European higher education — Trends and barriers," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 155, p. 103933, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103933.

8. K. Okoye, et al., "Impact of digital technologies upon teaching and learning in higher education in Latin America: an outlook on the reach, barriers, and bottlenecks," *Educ. Inf. Technol.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 2291-2360, 2023, doi: 10.1007/s10639-022-11214-1.

9. J. Southworth, et al., "Developing a model for AI across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy," *Comput. Educ. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 4, p. 100127, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100127.

10. R. Ajjawi, et al., "Aligning assessment with the needs of work-integrated learning: The challenges of authentic assessment in a complex context," *Assess. Eval. High. Educ.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 304-316, 2020, doi: 10.1080/02602938.2019.1639613.