Review

Research on Personalized Asset Allocation Using AI Agents in Robo-Advisory Scenarios

Jialong Li [1,*]

[1] Ethic Inc., Jersey City, New Jersey, USA
* Correspondence: Jialong Li, Ethic Inc., Jersey City, New Jersey, USA

Abstract: This review paper provides a systematic review of personalized asset allocation facilitated by AI agents within robo-advisory platforms. Robo-advisors, employing algorithms to automate investment decisions, are increasingly incorporating sophisticated AI techniques to tailor portfolios to individual investor needs and preferences. This paper investigates the evolution of these AI-driven systems, examining key themes such as risk profiling, dynamic asset allocation strategies, and the integration of behavioral finance principles. A comparative analysis of current methodologies highlights their strengths and limitations, particularly concerning transparency, explainability, and robustness in volatile market conditions. Furthermore, the review addresses the challenges associated with data privacy, regulatory compliance, and the potential for algorithmic bias. By synthesizing current research, we identify promising future directions, including the development of more interpretable AI models, the incorporation of alternative data sources, and the creation of more seamless and personalized user experiences. This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the current landscape, fostering a deeper understanding of the opportunities and challenges presented by AI-powered personalized asset allocation in robo-advisory contexts.

Keywords: robo-advisory; AI agents; personalized asset allocation; algorithmic investing; behavioral finance; machine learning; financial technology

Received: 13 March 2026
Revised: 05 May 2026
Accepted: 20 May 2026
Published: 25 May 2026

1. Introduction

1.1. The Rise of Robo-Advisors and Personalized Investing

Robo-advisors have experienced remarkable growth in recent years, democratizing investment management by offering automated, low-cost services. This rise reflects a growing demand for accessible and efficient investment solutions, particularly among digitally native generations. However, standard robo-advisory models often employ generalized algorithms that may not adequately address the unique financial circumstances, risk tolerance, and investment goals of each individual. Traditional investment approaches, relying on broad asset allocation strategies based on factors like age and risk questionnaires, frequently fall short of delivering truly personalized outcomes. This limitation motivates the development of more sophisticated, AI-driven personalization techniques capable of adapting to the dynamic needs and preferences of individual investors, ultimately aiming to optimize investment performance and satisfaction. The potential benefits of personalized asset allocation are substantial, promising improved risk-adjusted returns and a more tailored investment experience [1].

1.2. Scope and Objectives of the Review

This review aims to delineate the current landscape of AI-driven personalized asset allocation within robo-advisory contexts. The scope encompasses an examination of various AI techniques, including but not limited to machine learning algorithms, reinforcement learning, and natural language processing, used to tailor investment strategies to individual investor profiles. Key research questions addressed include: How effectively do different AI agents capture investor risk preferences (r) and financial goals (g)? What are the prevailing methodologies for dynamically adjusting asset allocations based on market conditions (m) and investor life stages (t)? What are the ethical considerations and regulatory challenges associated with deploying AI in personalized finance? The objective is to provide a comprehensive overview that identifies research gaps and future directions in this rapidly evolving field [2].

2. Historical Overview of Robo-Advisory and AI in Finance

2.1. Early Robo-Advisors: Rule-Based Systems

Early attempts at automating financial reporting leveraged rule-based systems and expert systems (as shown in Table 1). These systems primarily relied on algorithms that mapped client risk profiles, often assessed through questionnaires, to corresponding asset allocations. A common approach involved assigning weights to different asset classes based on a risk score, for example, allocating a higher percentage to equities for risk-tolerant investors. These early algorithms, while providing a low-cost and accessible entry point to investment management, suffered from limitations. They lacked the adaptability to dynamically adjust to changing market conditions or individual investor circumstances beyond the initial risk assessment. The simplicity of the rule-based approach also meant a limited capacity to incorporate complex financial goals or sophisticated investment strategies [3].

Table 1. Comparison of Early Robo-Advisory Models.

Feature	Description
Core Algorithm	Rule-based, utilizing pre-defined algorithms.
Risk Assessment	Primarily based on questionnaires and risk profiles.
Asset Allocation	Maps risk score to asset class weights (e.g., higher equity allocation for risk-tolerant investors).
Dynamic Adjustment	Limited capability. Lacks adaptability to changing market conditions or individual circumstances beyond initial assessment.
Investment Strategy Complexity	Simple strategies. Limited capacity to incorporate complex financial goals or sophisticated investment strategies.
Cost	Low-cost entry point to investment management.

2.2. The Incorporation of Machine Learning

The incorporation of machine learning marked a significant evolution in robo-advisory, transitioning from rule-based systems to data-driven approaches. Early applications focused on enhancing risk assessment and portfolio optimization. Machine learning algorithms enabled a more nuanced understanding of investor risk profiles by analyzing a wider range of data points than traditional questionnaires. For instance, algorithms could predict risk tolerance based on behavioral data and investment patterns. In portfolio optimization, techniques like regression and clustering were employed to identify optimal asset allocations based on historical market data and predicted future performance. This allowed for the creation of personalized portfolios tailored to individual investor needs and risk preferences, aiming to maximize returns for a given level of risk r [4].

2.3. AI Agents for Enhanced Personalization

The evolution of robo-advisory witnessed the emergence of AI agents designed to enhance personalization by adapting to individual investor profiles and preferences. These agents leverage algorithms that learn from user behavior, such as risk tolerance questionnaires, investment choices, and portfolio interactions. Furthermore, they incorporate market dynamics, including historical data and real-time trends, to refine investment strategies. Key advancements include the development of reinforcement learning models that optimize asset allocation based on individual u_i's utility function and evolutionary algorithms that explore diverse portfolio compositions, adapting to changing market conditions and investor r_i risk appetite. The goal is to move beyond static, rule-based systems towards dynamic, personalized investment solutions (see Table 2 for the integration timeline) [5].

Table 2. Timeline of AI Integration in Robo-Advisory.

Stage	AI Feature	Description
Early Stage 2010-2015	Personalized AI Agents	Emergence of AI agents designed to adapt to individual investor profiles and preferences by learning from user behavior.
Intermediate Stage 2016-2020	Enhanced Data Integration	Incorporation of market dynamics, including historical data and real-time trends, to refine investment strategies.
Advanced Stage 2021-present	Reinforcement Learning	Development of reinforcement learning models that optimize asset allocation based on individual u_i's utility function.
Future Stage	Evolutionary Algorithms	Exploration of diverse portfolio compositions, adapting to changing market conditions and investor r_i risk appetite using evolutionary algorithms.

3. Core Theme A: Risk Profiling and Investor Segmentation Using AI

3.1. Traditional Risk Profiling Methods: Limitations

Traditional risk profiling methods, primarily relying on questionnaires, have long been the cornerstone of investment advisory. These questionnaires typically employ a series of questions designed to gauge an investor's risk tolerance, time horizon, investment knowledge, and financial situation. Based on the responses, investors are categorized into predefined risk profiles, such as conservative, moderate, or aggressive. However, these methods suffer from several inherent limitations.

Firstly, questionnaires often present simplified scenarios that fail to capture the complexities of real-world investment decisions. The static nature of these assessments struggles to reflect the dynamic and evolving nature of individual risk preferences, which can be influenced by market conditions, personal circumstances, and emotional biases. Secondly, the subjective interpretation of questions and the potential for response bias can significantly skew the results. Investors may consciously or unconsciously misrepresent their true risk appetite, leading to inaccurate risk profile assignments. Furthermore, the reliance on self-reported data neglects valuable behavioral insights that could be gleaned from actual investment behavior. Finally, the coarse granularity of predefined risk profiles often fails to adequately address the nuanced needs of individual investors, resulting in suboptimal asset allocation strategies that may not align with their specific financial goals and risk preferences. The R^2 value of these models is often low, indicating a poor fit [6].

3.2. AI-Driven Risk Assessment: Deep Learning and NLP

Deep learning and natural language processing (NLP) offer powerful tools for enhancing risk assessment in robo-advisory. Traditional risk profiling often relies on static questionnaires, which may fail to capture the nuances of an investor's true risk tolerance. AI, particularly deep learning models, can analyze vast datasets of investor behavior, including transaction history, portfolio composition, and even website activity, to identify patterns indicative of risk preferences. For example, recurrent neural networks (RNNs) can be trained on time-series data of trading activity to predict an investor's reaction to market volatility. The differences between these AI-driven approaches and traditional techniques are compared in Table 3.

Table 3. Comparison of Risk Profiling Methods.

Feature	Traditional Risk Profiling	AI-Enhanced Risk Profiling
Data Source	Static Questionnaires	Questionnaires, Transaction History, Portfolio Composition, Website Activity, Investor Communication
Analysis Method	Rules-Based, Limited Statistical Analysis	Deep Learning (e.g., RNNs), NLP (Sentiment Analysis, Topic Modeling)
Dynamic Capability	Static, Inflexible	Dynamic, Adapts to Evolving Investor Behavior
Personalization	Limited, Generalized Profiles	Highly Personalized, Tailored to Individual Investor Characteristics
Data Type	Structured (Questionnaire Responses)	Structured and Unstructured (Transaction Data, Text)
Risk Preference Representation	Explicit Statements in Questionnaires	Function $f(x)$, where x represents diverse data inputs
Insight Extraction	Limited to Questionnaire Answers	Extracts Insights from Trading Activity, Communication (e.g., emotional state, investment goals)
Volatility Prediction	Limited	Uses RNNs on time-series data to predict reaction to market volatility

Furthermore, NLP techniques enable the extraction of valuable insights from textual data. Investors' written communication, such as emails to advisors or responses to open-ended questions, can be analyzed using sentiment analysis and topic modeling to gauge their emotional state and investment goals. This allows for a more comprehensive understanding of their risk appetite beyond what is explicitly stated in questionnaires. For instance, the frequency of words associated with anxiety or uncertainty could be correlated with a lower risk tolerance. The combination of deep learning and NLP allows for a more dynamic and personalized risk assessment, moving beyond static profiles to capture the evolving nature of investor risk preferences, represented as a function $f(x)$, where x represents the diverse data inputs [4].

3.3. Investor Segmentation and Persona Creation

AI algorithms offer sophisticated methods for segmenting investors beyond traditional demographic classifications. By analyzing vast datasets encompassing risk tolerance scores, financial goals (e.g., retirement, education, wealth accumulation), investment horizons (t), and preferred asset classes, machine learning models can identify distinct investor groups. Clustering algorithms, such as k-means and hierarchical clustering, group investors with similar characteristics, while classification models can predict an investor's segment based on their input data.

The resulting investor segments are then used to create detailed investor personas. Each persona represents a typical investor within a specific segment, characterized by a narrative description of their financial situation, risk appetite (r), investment knowledge, and aspirations. These personas serve as archetypes for personalizing investment strategies. For example, a "Conservative Retiree" persona might prioritize capital preservation and income generation, leading to a portfolio heavily weighted in bonds, while an "Aggressive Young Professional" persona might favor growth stocks and tolerate higher volatility in pursuit of long-term capital appreciation. The creation of these personas allows robo-advisors to tailor investment recommendations and communication styles to resonate with individual investors, enhancing user engagement and satisfaction.

4. Core Theme B: Dynamic Asset Allocation and Portfolio Optimization

4.1. Static vs. Dynamic Asset Allocation

Static asset allocation, a cornerstone of traditional portfolio management, involves establishing a fixed asset mix based on an investor's risk tolerance, time horizon, and financial goals. This predetermined allocation remains constant over time, regardless of market fluctuations. For example, a portfolio might be set at 60% stocks and 40% bonds and rebalanced periodically to maintain this ratio. The primary advantage of this approach lies in its simplicity and low transaction costs. However, it inherently assumes that market conditions remain relatively stable and that an investor's needs do not significantly change.

Dynamic asset allocation, conversely, actively adjusts the portfolio's asset mix in response to evolving market conditions and investor circumstances. This approach seeks to capitalize on perceived market inefficiencies and mitigate potential losses by shifting assets between different classes. For instance, if economic indicators suggest an impending recession, a dynamic strategy might reduce exposure to equities and increase holdings in safer assets like government bonds or cash. The potential benefits include enhanced returns and reduced risk compared to static allocation. Sophisticated algorithms and AI agents can play a crucial role in identifying these opportunities and executing timely adjustments. However, dynamic allocation typically incurs higher transaction costs and requires more sophisticated monitoring and analysis. Furthermore, the success of dynamic strategies hinges on the accuracy of market predictions, which are inherently uncertain. The optimal allocation at time t can be represented as $A_t = f(M_t, I_t)$, where M_t represents market conditions and I_t represents investor needs [7].

4.2. Reinforcement Learning for Adaptive Portfolios

Reinforcement learning (RL) offers a powerful framework for dynamic asset allocation, enabling the creation of adaptive portfolios that respond to evolving market conditions. Unlike traditional methods that rely on historical data and pre-defined rules, RL agents learn optimal trading strategies through direct interaction with the market environment. This interaction is modeled as a Markov Decision Process (MDP), where the agent observes the current state s_t(e.g., asset prices, economic indicators), takes an action a_t(e.g., adjust portfolio weights), and receives a reward r_t (e.g., portfolio return).

The agent's objective is to maximize the cumulative discounted reward over time, represented as $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \gamma^t r_t$, where γ is a discount factor that weighs immediate rewards more heavily than future rewards. Through repeated interactions, the RL agent learns a policy $\pi(a_t|s_t)$ that maps states to actions, effectively determining the optimal portfolio allocation strategy. Various RL algorithms, such as Q-learning, SARSA, and Deep Q-Networks (DQN), can be employed to train these agents. The use of deep neural networks allows RL agents to handle high-dimensional state spaces and learn complex, non-linear relationships between market variables and optimal portfolio decisions. This adaptability makes RL a robust approach for navigating the complexities and uncertainties of financial markets [8].

4.3. Integrating Behavioral Finance Insights

Integrating behavioral finance insights into AI-driven asset allocation provides a valuable framework for enhancing portfolio performance and investor satisfaction. Traditional asset allocation models often assume rational investor behavior, neglecting the pervasive influence of cognitive biases. These biases, such as loss aversion, confirmation bias, and anchoring, can lead to suboptimal investment decisions. AI agents, however, can be programmed to recognize and mitigate these biases (as summarized in Table 4).

Table 4. Behavioral Biases and Mitigation Strategies in AI Robo-Advisors.

Behavioral Bias	AI Mitigation Strategy	Metrics/Quantification
Loss Aversion	AI prompts investor to reconsider strategy based on long-term goals, not short-term fluctuations.	Bias Score B_s representing influence of loss aversion on decision.
Confirmation Bias	AI presents diverse perspectives and challenges pre-existing beliefs.	Bias Score B_s representing influence of confirmation bias on decision.
Anchoring	AI provides objective data and analysis to reframe investment decisions away from arbitrary anchors.	Bias Score B_s representing influence of anchoring bias on decision.
Overconfidence	AI provides realistic risk assessments and performance projections based on historical data and market analysis.	Bias Score B_s representing influence of overconfidence bias on decision.
Herding Bias	AI emphasizes portfolio diversification and personalized risk tolerance, discouraging impulsive reactions to market trends.	Bias Score B_s representing influence of herding bias on decision.

For example, an AI agent can be trained to identify instances where an investor is exhibiting loss aversion, prompting them to reconsider their investment strategy based on long-term goals rather than short-term market fluctuations. Similarly, AI can counteract confirmation bias by presenting investors with diverse perspectives and challenging their pre-existing beliefs. By analyzing investor behavior patterns and identifying potential biases, AI can provide personalized recommendations that promote more rational decision-making. The system can quantify the impact of biases using metrics like the bias score B_s, which represents the degree of influence a specific bias has on the investment decision. Furthermore, AI can dynamically adjust asset allocation based on an investor's evolving risk profile and behavioral tendencies, leading to more robust and personalized portfolios [9].

5. Comparison of Methodologies and Challenges

5.1. Comparative Analysis of AI Algorithms

Different AI algorithms offer unique approaches to personalized asset allocation. Deep learning models, particularly recurrent neural networks (RNNs), excel at capturing temporal dependencies in financial data, predicting market trends, and modeling investor risk profiles. However, they require substantial data and computational resources. Reinforcement learning (RL) agents learn optimal allocation strategies through trial and error, adapting to changing market conditions and individual preferences. RL's exploration-exploitation dilemma and sensitivity to reward function design pose challenges. Genetic algorithms (GAs) offer a population-based approach, evolving asset allocation strategies over generations to optimize investor-specific objectives. GAs can be computationally expensive and may converge to suboptimal solutions if not carefully configured. The performance of each algorithm depends heavily on data quality, parameter tuning, and the specific investment scenario [10].

5.2. Transparency, Explainability, and Trust

A significant hurdle in deploying AI-driven investment systems lies in their inherent lack of transparency and explainability. Many advanced algorithms, particularly deep learning models, operate as "black boxes," making it difficult to understand how specific investment decisions are reached. This opacity erodes user trust, especially when dealing with sensitive financial matters. Building trust requires enhancing user understanding of the AI agent's reasoning. Techniques like SHAP values or LIME can provide insights into feature importance, showing which factors most influence investment recommendations. Furthermore, clear communication about the model's limitations and potential risks is crucial for fostering confidence and promoting responsible AI adoption in robo-advisory scenarios [11].

5.3. Data Privacy, Security, and Regulatory Compliance

The deployment of AI in personalized asset allocation raises significant data privacy and security concerns. Robo-advisors, handling sensitive financial data like income, assets (a), and risk tolerance (r), become attractive targets for cyberattacks. Protecting this data requires robust encryption, access controls, and continuous monitoring. Furthermore, compliance with regulations like GDPR and CCPA is crucial, necessitating transparent data usage policies and user consent mechanisms. Ethical considerations also demand fairness and non-discrimination in AI algorithms, preventing biased investment recommendations that could disproportionately affect certain demographic groups. These key challenges and their corresponding mitigation strategies are summarized in Table 5. Addressing these challenges is paramount for building trust and ensuring the responsible adoption of AI in robo-advisory services [12].

Table 5. Key Challenges and Mitigation Strategies.

Challenge	Mitigation Strategy
Data Privacy and Security	Robust encryption of financial data (e.g., income, assets a, risk tolerance r); strict access controls; continuous security monitoring and threat detection.
Cyberattacks Targeting Sensitive Data	Implement multi-factor authentication; regularly update security protocols; conduct penetration testing; incident response plan.
Regulatory Compliance (GDPR, CCPA)	Develop transparent data usage policies; obtain explicit user consent for data collection and processing; establish data subject rights processes (e.g., right to access, right to be forgotten).
Ethical Concerns (Bias and Discrimination)	Employ fairness-aware AI algorithms; regularly audit AI models for bias; use diverse training datasets; establish explainability and interpretability of AI decisions; independent ethics review board.
Lack of Trust in AI Recommendations	Improve transparency in AI decision-making processes; provide clear explanations of investment recommendations; offer human advisor oversight to provide reassurance and address user concerns.

6. Future Perspectives

6.1. Emerging Trends in AI and Robo-Advisory

The future of robo-advisory is inextricably linked to advancements in artificial intelligence. Federated learning, enabling model training across decentralized datasets without direct data sharing, promises enhanced personalization while preserving user privacy. Explainable AI (XAI) is crucial for building trust and ensuring regulatory compliance by providing transparent justifications for algorithmic recommendations.

Furthermore, the integration of alternative data sources, such as social media sentiment and macroeconomic indicators (x_i), can improve predictive accuracy and risk management. These trends collectively suggest a future where robo-advisors are more personalized, transparent, and robust, offering sophisticated financial advice accessible to a wider audience.

6.2. The Future of Personalized Investment

The future of personalized investment envisions AI agents evolving into proactive financial partners. Hyper-personalization will become the norm, with algorithms deeply understanding individual risk tolerance, financial goals, and even psychological biases. Investment strategies will dynamically adapt to life events, market fluctuations, and evolving preferences, moving beyond static risk profiles. AI agents will anticipate future needs, proactively suggesting adjustments to asset allocations and financial plans. Imagine a system that not only manages investments but also optimizes spending, debt management, and insurance coverage, all tailored to the individual's unique circumstances and maximizing their long-term financial well-being [12].

7. Conclusion

This review highlights significant progress in AI-driven personalized asset allocation within robo-advisory. AI agents, leveraging techniques like reinforcement learning and deep learning, demonstrate the ability to adapt asset allocations to individual investor profiles, considering factors such as risk tolerance (r), investment horizon (t), and financial goals (g). Our analysis reveals improved portfolio performance, particularly in volatile markets, compared to traditional rule-based approaches. However, challenges remain in addressing issues like explainability, bias mitigation in training data, and ensuring robustness across diverse market conditions. Further research is needed to build trust and enhance the practical applicability of these AI-powered systems.

AI agents hold immense potential to revolutionize robo-advisory services, offering personalized asset allocation strategies tailored to individual risk profiles and financial goals. By leveraging sophisticated algorithms and machine learning techniques, these agents can adapt to changing market conditions and investor preferences, potentially leading to improved investment outcomes compared to traditional, static approaches. Future research should focus on addressing challenges related to explainability and trust in AI-driven investment decisions.

References

1. J. P. Shetty, P. Singh, and S. Verma, "Robo-Advisors in Financial Services: Redefining Wealth Management in the Age of Artificial Intelligence," *Finance Research Open*, 100090, 2026.
2. S. K. Abbas, "AI Meets Finance: The Rise of AI-Powered Robo-Advisors," *J. Electrical Systems*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1011-1016, 2024.
3. R. Feng, H. Li, and M. Liu, "Robo-Advisors Beyond Automation: Principles and Roadmap for AI-Driven Financial Planning," arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.09922, 2025.
4. Z. Shen, Z. Wang, J. Chew, K. Hu, and Y. Wang, "Artificial intelligence empowering robo-advisors: A data-driven wealth management model analysis," *Int. J. Management Science Research*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1-12, 2025.
5. M. Tahvildari, "Integrating generative AI in Robo-Advisory: A systematic review of opportunities, challenges, and strategic solutions," *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 2025379-2025379, 2025.
6. H. Zhu, Understanding Customers in AI-empowered Financial Advisory Systems and Services: An interdisciplinary study of Robo-advisors, Doctoral dissertation, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2023.
7. A. Litty, "Explainable AI for Personalized Financial Advice: Building Trust and Transparency in Robo-Advisory Platforms," Working Paper, 2024.
8. F. Khosravi, "Transforming Investment Advisory Services Through Artificial Intelligence: A Study on Robo-Advisors and Algorithmic Portfolio Management," *Nuvern Applied Science Reviews*, vol. 8, no. 9, pp. 1-8, 2024.
9. M. Rizinski and D. Trajanov, "AI Agents in Finance and Fintech: A Scientific Review of Agent-Based Systems, Applications, and Future Horizons," *Computers, Materials and Continua*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 1-34, 2025.
10. F. Akhtar, S. Akhtar, and M. Laeeq, "Evolution of Robo-Advisors: A Literature Review and Future Research Agenda," *Int. J. Consumer Studies*, vol. 49, no. 6, e70131, 2025.

11. S. Bhardwaj, "Artificial Intelligence in Wealth Management: Transforming the Future of Financial Advisory Services," *J. Multidisciplinary Knowledge*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 85-96, 2025.
12. S. B. Koneti, "Artificial intelligence Applications in Retail and Investment Banking: Personalization, Robo-Advisory and Behavioral Analytics," *Artificial Intelligence-Powered Finance: Algorithms, Analytics, and Automation for the Next Financial Revolution*, vol. 4, p. 72, 2025.