

Article

Reverse Incentive Generation Mechanism for Agent Calibration and Stability

Juyi Yang ^{1,*}¹ University of California, Los Angeles, USA

* Correspondence: Juyi Yang, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

Abstract: This paper focuses on the reverse incentive generation mechanism for agent calibration and stability. Firstly, it clarifies the background and core significance of researching this mechanism, then conducts an in-depth analysis of various factors affecting agent calibration and stability. Finally, aiming at these issues, it discusses in detail the operational principles and specific methods of the reverse incentive generation mechanism in agent calibration and stability improvement. By establishing a reasonable reverse incentive model combined with practical cases, the paper analyzes its application effects, aiming to provide theoretical support and practical guidance for enhancing agent performance, strengthening its reliability and stability in practical applications, and promoting the development and application of agent technology in various fields.

Keywords: agent; calibration; stability; reverse incentive generation mechanism; model construction

1. Introduction

With the rapid development of artificial intelligence technology, agents have been widely used in many fields such as autonomous driving, intelligent customer service, and robot control. The performance of agents directly affects the operation effect and service quality of related systems, and their calibration and stability are important indicators to measure performance. However, in practical applications, agents are faced with complex and changing environments and task requirements, and are prone to problems such as calibration deviations and poor performance. Therefore, as an emerging regulatory method, the reverse incentive generation mechanism can dynamically adjust incentive strategies according to the actual performance of agents, and then guide them to improve towards preset goals, which is of great significance for improving the calibration accuracy and stability of agents. Therefore, in-depth research on the reverse incentive generation mechanism for agent calibration and stability has important theoretical value and practical significance [1].

2. Current Situation and Problems of Agent Calibration and Stability

2.1. Current Situation and Challenges of Agent Calibration

Although progress has been made in the field of agent calibration, many difficulties still exist in practical applications. Currently, it mainly relies on preset model parameters and large-scale data training to improve the model, thereby achieving corresponding calibration accuracy, but this model also has obvious limitations. In practical application scenarios, the surrounding environment is highly dynamically changing, and its complexity exceeds the scope covered by the original preset rules. For example, the complex and variable road conditions in autonomous driving scenarios are not only reflected in the clarity of lane lines and road flatness, but also include temporarily changed traffic signs and sudden behaviors of surrounding vehicles; in addition to heavy rain and

Received: 28 November 2025

Revised: 02 January 2026

Accepted: 14 January 2026

Published: 21 January 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

dense fog, similar harsh weather such as strong winds and sandstorms also have a wide impact, which will interfere with the normal operation of the agent's perception system. Based on agents calibrated with fixed rules, when facing these complex and variable factors, their perception and judgment capabilities cannot accurately adapt to environmental changes, which may lead to driving deviations and even safety accidents. In addition, data quality and diversity play a decisive role in agent calibration [2].

2.2. Influencing Factors of Agent Stability

Agent stability is constrained by various internal and external factors, showing complex and diverse characteristics. From the perspective of internal reasons, the key factors affecting stability are the complexity and robustness of the algorithm. Complex algorithms usually have strong processing capabilities to handle complex tasks and provide more accurate decision support for agents [3]. However, in the actual operation process, they may be affected by limited computing resources or unreasonable parameter settings, resulting in unstable operation of complex algorithms. For example, computing delays may prevent agents from responding to environmental changes in a timely manner, directly leading to the loss of predetermined accuracy of results, which may cause wrong decisions and affect the overall performance of agents. Algorithms with insufficient robustness are likely to lose their goals and make wrong decisions when facing noise interference or abnormal data. In terms of external factors, uncertain environmental factors are the main challenges. The environment where the agent is located is accompanied by various uncertain factors. Sensor failures may cause perception information to lose its original standards, thereby affecting the agent's correct cognition of the environment; external interference such as electromagnetic interference may also have adverse effects on the communication and decision-making processes, thereby interfering with the normal operation of the agent [4].

2.3. Limitations of Existing Incentive Mechanisms in Agents

Most of the existing incentive mechanisms are oriented towards positive incentives, that is, rewarding the correct behaviors of agents to strengthen their good performance. Although this method promotes the development of agents to a certain extent, it also has obvious limitations. On the one hand, the behavioral scope of agents is wide, which leads to the inability of positive incentives to fully cover all possible behaviors of agents. Therefore, there are many undesirable behaviors that cannot be paid attention to. Positive incentives mainly focus on correct behaviors and cannot effectively supervise and control these undesirable behaviors in a timely manner [5]. This leads to the possibility that agents may have behavioral deviations in the process of pursuing rewards, adopting behaviors that are beneficial in the short term but harmful in the long term. For example, in the process of resource allocation tasks, agents may over-concentrate resources to obtain short-term high rewards, which affects the overall performance and prevents other tasks from being reasonably allocated. In addition, positive incentives lack dynamic adjustment strategies. In complex and changing environments, the performance of agents will change with environmental changes, but positive incentives usually use fixed reward schemes and cannot flexibly adjust incentive rules according to the real-time performance of agents.

2.4. Necessity of Research on Reverse Incentive Generation Mechanism

Based on the above problems in agent calibration and stability, the research on reverse incentive generation mechanism is very important. Reverse incentives can give corresponding punishments for the undesirable behaviors of agents or their performance deviating from expected goals, thereby forming a negative feedback regulation strategy. This strategy has unique advantages in the development of agents. It is like a corrector. By reasonably designing reverse incentive rules, it guides agents to actively avoid wrong behaviors and improve the decision-making process. When the agent deviates from the

preset plan, the reverse incentive can issue a reminder in a timely manner to make the agent adjust the direction of behavior, thereby achieving the purpose of improving calibration accuracy and stability. For example, if there is a wrong judgment in the learning process of the agent, the reverse incentive can correct it in time to avoid accumulating wrong behaviors [6]. At the same time, the reverse incentive generation mechanism can be combined with positive incentives to establish a more perfect incentive system. Positive incentives encourage agents to actively develop correct behaviors and stimulate their motivation for innovation and learning; reverse incentives restrict wrong behaviors and prevent agents from deviating from the correct development direction. The cooperation between the two can realize the comprehensive regulation of agent behaviors, strengthen the adaptability and robustness of agents in complex environments, promote the development of agent technology to a higher level, and provide strong support for the application of agents in more fields [7].

3. Reverse Incentive-Related Factors Affecting Agent Calibration and Stability

3.1. Intensity and Frequency of Reverse Incentives

The intensity and frequency of reverse incentives play a very important role in shaping the calibration and stability of agents. They are interrelated and have a profound impact on the agent's behavioral patterns and learning progress. Incentive intensity is a double-edged sword. When the intensity is too high, agents may have a strong conservative psychology due to excessive punishment. This conservative behavior will restrict the agent's desire to explore to the greatest extent, making it dare not easily try new methods, thereby limiting its learning and improvement capabilities. In complex and changing environments, agents need to continuously explore new strategies to adapt to new environmental changes, and excessive reverse incentives will suppress this exploration behavior, causing agents to fall into the dilemma of local optimization. On the contrary, low incentive intensity cannot effectively restrain the undesirable behaviors of agents [8]. Agents may ignore subtle punishment signals and still operate according to the original undesirable behavior patterns, thereby failing to correct wrong behaviors and directly affecting calibration accuracy. Incentive frequency is also not negligible. In the process of agents continuously receiving punishment signals and making adjustments, too frequent reverse incentive mechanisms will cause too frequent adjustments, making it impossible to stably implement strategies and affecting their stable operation. However, too low incentive frequency cannot timely capture and correct the agent's deviant behaviors, leading to small problems gradually evolving into big problems, which ultimately has an unavoidable impact on the agent's calibration accuracy [9]. Therefore, it is necessary to set reasonable intensity and frequency of reverse incentives with reference to the specific tasks that the agent can bear and the characteristics of the environment in which it is located, so as to realize the efficient calibration and stable operation of the agent.

3.2. Trigger Conditions of Reverse Incentives

Clear, reasonable and accurate reverse incentive trigger conditions are the foundation to ensure the effectiveness of the entire incentive scheme. The setting of trigger conditions should be closely centered on the deviation degree between the agent's behavioral performance and the expected goal. When the agent's behavior deviates from the expected goal to a certain extent, the reverse incentive will be triggered to promote the agent to independently adjust its behavior. However, the setting of this deviation threshold is not a simple matter and needs to consider various inducing factors. Among them, the complexity of the task is one of the important reasons affecting the threshold setting. For tasks with high complexity, the agent may encounter more unforeseen situations in the implementation process, thereby increasing the possibility of behavioral deviations. At this time, if the set threshold is too high, some deviant behaviors that may affect the completion of the task cannot be detected and corrected in a timely manner,

thereby affecting the smooth progress of the task. The influence of the uncertain environment will also affect the threshold setting. In a highly uncertain environment, part of the agent's behavioral deviation is caused by environmental factors. On the contrary, if the threshold is set too low, the agent may be frequently punished for accidental deviations caused by environmental factors, which will not only blow the agent's enthusiasm for learning, but also affect its stable operation. Therefore, to accurately determine the trigger conditions of reverse incentives, it is necessary to conduct in-depth analysis of task characteristics and environmental characteristics, and find the most appropriate threshold through multiple repeated experiments and simulations. This is an important link to improve agent calibration and stability.

3.3. Synergy Mechanism between Reverse Incentives and Positive Incentives

Reverse incentives and positive incentives do not exist independently. The two must work together through ingenious cooperation methods to more effectively guide the agent's behavior towards the expected direction. Positive incentives are like a positive guiding force, encouraging agents to adopt correct behaviors that meet the expected goals, and enhancing their enthusiasm for exploration and learning; reverse incentives are a restrictive force that restricts and punishes the undesirable behaviors of agents and urges them to correct errors. Both are indispensable. In designing the cooperation plan, the timing and proportion of incentives are two important elements. In the initial learning stage of the agent, because it is not familiar with the tasks and environment, the proportion of positive incentives can be appropriately increased. The emergence of positive incentives can provide positive feedback for agents, encouraging them to boldly explore a variety of feasible strategies and methods. With the gradual progress of learning, the agent has a certain understanding of the tasks and environment. At this time, the proportion of reverse incentives should be gradually increased. Increasing reverse incentives can strengthen the constraints on the agent's behavior, make it pay more attention to the accuracy and stability of behavior, and avoid large deviations due to excessive exploration. At the same time, it is necessary to ensure that the two types of incentives cooperate with each other in time to form an orderly and coherent incentive signal. This coherent incentive signal can guide the agent to gradually improve its activities and behaviors, make it continuously adjust the plan in the learning process, and finally achieve the improvement of calibration accuracy and stability.

3.4. Agent's Perception and Response Capability to Reverse Incentives

The agent's ability to perceive and respond to reverse incentives is an important factor determining the implementation effect of the reverse incentive generation mechanism. First of all, the agent must have the ability to accurately perceive reverse incentive signals, which requires it to have an efficient and sensitive perception system. There are various types of information coexisting in a complex environment, and the agent must be able to quickly and accurately screen out important reverse incentive signals from these cumbersome information. This is like accurately capturing a specific sound signal in a noisy environment, which requires the perception system to have a high degree of selectivity and sensitivity. In addition to accurate perception, the agent must also have strong information processing capabilities to understand the meaning conveyed by the reverse incentive signal. Only by understanding the meaning behind the punishment information can the agent adjust its behavior in a targeted manner. In addition, the agent's rapid response capability is also very important. After receiving the reverse incentive signal, the agent must be able to respond quickly and adjust its own behavioral norms in a timely manner. If the agent can sensitively perceive the reverse incentive, it may only be able to respond after the deviant behavior has had a significant impact, making it impossible to correct the problem in a timely manner; if the response is slow, it may miss the best correction opportunity in the process of adjusting the behavior,

leading to the deterioration of the problem and accelerating the calibration and stability issues. Therefore, improving the agent's perception and response capabilities is an important guarantee for the effective operation of the reverse incentive generation strategy and the realization of efficient calibration and stable operation of the agent.

3.5. Impact of Environmental Factors on Reverse Incentive Effects

The impact of environmental factors on reverse incentive effects is multi-angle and complex, which must be fully considered in the design and implementation of reverse incentive generation mechanisms. Environmental uncertainty is one of the important factors affecting the effect of reverse incentives. In a dynamically changing environment, the agent's behavioral deviation may not be completely caused by its own decision-making mistakes, but by the interference of environmental factors. For example, in robot navigation tasks, sudden changes in light or movement of obstacles may cause the robot to produce behavioral deviations. If the robot is blindly subjected to reverse incentives, it may not be able to accurately guide it to optimize its behavior, and may even cause it to misunderstand that the current behavior strategy is wrong, thereby making unreasonable adjustments and deviating further from the expected goal. In addition, interference information in the environment will also have a negative impact on the effect of reverse incentives. This interference information may interfere with the agent's reception and understanding of reverse incentive signals. For example, in an environment with a lot of noise, the agent may be unable to accurately identify the frequency or intensity of the reverse incentive signal, resulting in misunderstandings of the punishment information. This will not only reduce the incentive effect, but also cause the agent to make chaotic behavioral adjustments. Therefore, in designing the reverse incentive generation strategy, it is necessary to build an environmental model or introduce an advanced environmental perception module, so that this mechanism can real-time perceive environmental changes, and then automatically adjust the incentive strategy according to multiple conditions in the environment, ensuring that the reverse incentive can maintain effectiveness and stability in various environments, thereby realizing the accurate guidance and optimization of the agent's behavior.

4. Reverse Incentive Generation Strategies for Agent Calibration and Stability

4.1. Strategy for Dynamically Adjusting Reverse Incentive Intensity Based on Behavioral Deviation Degree

To solve the problem of unreasonable setting of reverse incentive intensity, the strategy of dynamically adjusting based on behavioral deviation degree has significant theoretical and practical significance. Defining the deviation degree index between the agent's behavior and the expected goal is the core foundation of this strategy. This index needs to comprehensively consider deviation information from multiple angles including key elements such as position deviation and decision deviation. Position deviation reflects the degree of deviation of the agent from the expected goal in spatial position. It not only involves simple two-dimensional plane position, but also in complex three-dimensional space scenarios such as UAV flight and robot three-dimensional operation, the consideration of position deviation needs to be more careful, comprehensively considering the deviation in each coordinate axis direction and the relative error of the overall spatial position. Decision deviation reflects the gap between the agent's decision-making process and the optimal decision, which involves multiple links from information collection, analysis to final decision-making output, and each link may produce deviations. According to the size of the deviation degree, the deviation is carefully divided into different levels, and each level corresponds to a specific reverse incentive intensity. This grading method can accurately handle the incentive needs under different deviation degrees. When the agent's behavioral deviation is small, a lighter reverse incentive is given. This gentle feedback method aims to remind the agent to pay attention to its own

behavior, and also promote it to make fine adjustments, avoiding inhibiting its enthusiasm for exploration and learning due to excessive punishment, and allowing the agent to accumulate experience in a relatively loose environment and gradually optimize its own behavioral model. When the deviation is large, a stronger reverse incentive is added. The strong feedback signal can promote the agent to quickly recognize the seriousness of the problem, thereby taking effective measures in a timely manner to correct the serious deviant behavior, and preventing the problem from continuing to deteriorate and causing irreversible impact on the overall performance. Through this dynamic adjustment method, the incentive intensity can be flexibly and accurately controlled according to the actual performance of the agent, which effectively avoids the problem that the agent's behavior is conservative due to excessive punishment or the deviation cannot be corrected due to insufficient incentives, thereby improving the agent's calibration accuracy and stability and providing a strong guarantee for the reliable operation of the agent in complex environments.

4.2. Multi-Dimensional Trigger Condition Setting Strategy

Aiming at the problem of inaccurate setting of reverse incentive trigger conditions, proposing a multi-dimensional trigger condition setting strategy is of great innovation and practicality. In addition to considering the deviation degree between the behavior and the expected goal as the basic factor, other relevant factors such as the frequency and duration of the behavior should also be comprehensively considered. The frequency of the behavior reflects the frequency of the agent's deviant behavior. Frequent deviant behaviors may indicate that the agent has certain systematic problems or undesirable behavioral patterns. For example, if the agent always makes similar decision-making mistakes in a specific task scenario, it may mean that its decision-making algorithm has defects in this scenario. The duration reflects the duration of the deviant behavior. Long-term continuous deviant behavior often has a more serious impact on the overall performance of the agent. For example, if the agent deviates from the predetermined route for a long time, it will lead to task failure and waste of resources. For example, for some deviant behaviors that occur multiple times and last for a long time, even if the deviation degree is small each time, the corresponding reverse incentive should be triggered. This is to prevent the problem from deteriorating in the long-term accumulation process, just like boiling a frog in warm water, causing irreversible damage to the agent's calibration and stability. Seemingly small deviations may lead to uncontrollable problems in the long-term accumulation. For occasional behaviors with large deviation degrees, corresponding punishments should be given in a timely manner to avoid ignoring the seriousness of the problem due to their occasional nature, so that the agent can always maintain a high degree of vigilance against its own behavior. By establishing a diversified trigger condition system, the agent's undesirable behaviors can be fully and accurately identified from multiple aspects, and reverse incentives can be triggered in a timely manner. While effectively improving the agent's calibration accuracy and stability, it ensures that the agent's behavior is always within a controllable range, thereby enhancing its adaptability in complex and changing environments.

4.3. Positive and Reverse Incentive Synergy Optimization Strategy

To realize the effective cooperation between positive incentives and reverse incentives, the adopted cooperation improvement strategy is forward-looking and systematic. Establishing a joint model of positive and reverse incentives and designing the two incentives as a whole breaks through the limitations of traditional single incentive methods and maximizes the incentive effect from an overall perspective. In the model, clarifying the proportional relationship and action timing of positive incentives and reverse incentives in different learning stages and task scenarios is the core part. In the stage of the agent learning new knowledge, positive incentives should be the main focus.

This is because in this stage, the agent needs to actively explore and try new strategies and methods. Positive incentives can provide it with positive motivation and confidence, encouraging it to boldly innovate and make breakthroughs, just like sending encouragement to a child learning to walk, letting them have the courage to take the first step. While consolidating the learning results, appropriately increase the proportion of reverse incentives to strengthen the constraints on its behavior. At this time, the agent has mastered certain knowledge and skills, and needs to use reverse incentives to urge it to perform tasks more cautiously to avoid deviant behaviors, just like setting higher standards for craftsmen who have mastered certain skills while ensuring the quality of their work. At the same time, by real-time monitoring the agent's learning progress and behavioral performance, dynamically adjust the parameters of positive and reverse incentives to keep the two in the best cooperative state. This dynamic adjustment mechanism can flexibly adjust the incentive strategy according to the real-time state of the agent, guide the agent to improve its behavior, realize the improvement of calibration accuracy and stability, provide incentive guarantee for the efficient operation of the agent, and enable the agent to exert the highest performance in different stages and scenarios.

4.4. Strategy for Improving Agent's Perception and Response Capabilities

To improve the agent's ability to perceive and respond to reverse incentives, the strategy of starting from both hardware and software is comprehensive and targeted. In terms of hardware, improving the agent's sensor configuration is an important part of improving its perception ability. As an important tool for agents to obtain environmental information and incentive signals, the performance of sensors directly affects the quality of the agent's reception of external signals. Using the latest sensor technologies such as high-precision cameras and high-sensitivity microphones can improve the collection accuracy and sensitivity of the agent to environmental information and incentive signals. High-precision cameras can capture more subtle environmental changes, such as identifying subtle obstacles or small characteristics of target objects in complex environments, providing more accurate information for the agent's decision-making; high-sensitivity microphones can accurately receive sound signals of different frequencies, ensuring that the agent can receive reverse incentive signals accurately, providing reliable data reference for subsequent processing and response. In terms of software, developing efficient information processing algorithms is the key to improving the agent's ability to identify and understand incentive signals. Through machine learning and deep learning algorithms, the agent can be trained to quickly extract key reverse incentive signals from complex environmental information and accurately interpret their meanings. These advanced algorithms can equip the agent with strong data analysis and processing capabilities, enabling it to quickly locate important signals in a large amount of information and understand the intentions behind them, just like the human brain can screen out important content from complex information and conduct analysis. Improve the agent's decision-making algorithm so that it can quickly make reasonable adjustments with reference to reverse incentives, enhancing the response speed and accuracy. The improvement of the decision-making algorithm can enable the agent to quickly analyze the current state and design the best adjustment plan after receiving the reverse incentive, ensuring that the agent can respond to the reverse incentive in a timely and effective manner, thereby improving its overall performance and stability, and allowing the agent to handle various problems more flexibly and accurately in complex environments.

4.5. Environment-Adaptive Reverse Incentive Generation Strategy

To cope with the impact of environmental factors on reverse incentive effects, the designed environment-adaptive reverse incentive generation strategy is innovative and practical. Firstly, building an environmental model is the basic step to fully understand the environment in which the agent is located. By real-time monitoring and analyzing the

environment, identifying important factors in the environment and their changing dynamics, it can provide accurate environmental information for subsequent incentive adjustments. The environment may include important factors such as light intensity, temperature, humidity, and noise level. Changes in these factors will have an important impact on the agent's perception and decision-making processes. For example, changes in light intensity will affect the imaging quality of visual sensors such as cameras and also affect the agent's perception of the environment; changes in temperature may affect the performance of the agent's hardware equipment, resulting in unstable operation. Dynamically adjusting the parameters and rules of reverse incentives according to the information of the environmental model is an important part of this strategy. For example, when the environmental uncertainty is high, appropriately reduce the intensity and frequency of reverse incentives. This is because in an environment with high uncertainty, the reason for the agent's deviant behavior may not be completely due to its own decision-making mistakes. Excessive punishment at this time may affect the agent and reduce its learning and adaptability. In a relatively stable environment, increase the intensity of reverse incentives to strengthen the constraints on the agent's behavior. In a stable environment, the agent has more time and conditions for decision-making and execution. Increasing reverse incentives at this time can promote the agent to act more strictly in accordance with the expected goals and ensure the smooth completion of the task. Through this environment-adaptive reverse incentive generation strategy, it can automatically adjust according to the surrounding environment, ensuring that it can effectively guide the agent to improve its behavior in different environments, thereby improving the agent's calibration accuracy and stability, enhancing its adaptability and reliability in complex and changing environments, and enabling the agent to exert the best performance in different environments.

5. Conclusion

This paper conducts an in-depth exploration around the reverse incentive generation mechanism for agent calibration and stability, analyzes the current situation and existing problems of agent calibration and stability, discusses the reverse incentive-related factors affecting its calibration and stability, and proposes a variety of targeted reverse incentive generation schemes. Through these studies, we know that the reasonable design of reverse incentive generation strategies is of great significance for improving the calibration accuracy and stability of agents. In future research, the reverse incentive model and algorithm can be continuously improved to enhance the intelligence level and adaptability of the strategy, and at the same time strengthen the verification and promotion in practical applications. While promoting agent technology to play a greater value in more fields, it also provides strong support for the development and application of artificial intelligence.

References

1. Z. Fan, B. Ghaddar, X. Wang, L. Xing, Y. Zhang, and Z. Zhou, "Artificial intelligence for operations research: Revolutionizing the operations research process," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03244*, 2024.
2. C. Dormoy, J. M. André, and A. Pagani, "A human factors' approach for multimodal collaboration with Cognitive Computing to create a Human Intelligent Machine Team: a Review," In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2021, p. 012105.
3. H. C. W. Lau, S. K. Tso, and J. K. L. Ho, "Development of an intelligent task management system in a manufacturing information network," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 165-179, 1998.
4. R. Yang, D. Rajagopal, S. A. Hayati, B. Hu, and D. Kang, "Confidence calibration and rationalization for llms via multi-agent deliberation," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.09127*, 2024.
5. Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," *nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436-444, 2015.
6. W. F. Wiggins, and A. S. Tejani, "On the opportunities and risks of foundation models for natural language processing in radiology," *Radiology: Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 4, no. 4, p. e220119, 2022. doi: 10.1148/ryai.220119

7. M. R. Endsley, "From here to autonomy: lessons learned from human-automation research," *Human factors*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 5-27, 2017.
8. S. Raisch, and S. Krakowski, "Artificial intelligence and management: The automation-augmentation paradox," *Academy of management review*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 192-210, 2021. doi: 10.5465/2018.0072
9. J. Zhang, and D. A. Norman, "Representations in distributed cognitive tasks," *Cognitive science*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 87-122, 1994. doi: 10.1207/s15516709cog1801_3

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of GBP and/or the editor(s). GBP and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.