GEORGE BROWN PRESS
JOURNALS, BOOKS & PROCEEDINGS

International Journal of Engineering Advances

Review

Machine Learning-Driven Optimization of Physical Layer Signal Processing in High-Speed Networks

Hao Xu [1,*]

[1] Credo Technology (SH) Limited, Shanghai 201315, China
* Correspondence: Hao Xu, Credo Technology (SH) Limited, Shanghai 201315, China

Abstract: The rapid evolution of high-speed communication networks, including 5G/6G, high-speed Ethernet, and satellite systems, has posed significant challenges to physical layer (PHY) signal processing. Traditional analytical methods often struggle with dynamic channel conditions, complex interference, and computational constraints. This review explores the integration of machine learning (ML) techniques for optimizing PHY signal processing, highlighting supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning approaches, as well as deep learning architectures such as CNNs, RNNs, and Transformers. The discussion covers model training strategies, including offline and online adaptive learning, and examines optimization objectives such as bit error rate reduction, spectral efficiency enhancement, and energy efficiency improvement. Representative case studies across high-speed network scenarios demonstrate the practical benefits of ML-driven PHY optimization. Additionally, the paper addresses challenges including data acquisition, model complexity, interpretability, robustness, and security, while outlining potential future directions such as federated learning, edge intelligence, adaptive signal processing, multimodal signal fusion, and quantum machine learning. Overall, ML provides a versatile and adaptive framework that complements traditional algorithms, enabling robust and efficient PHY performance in complex network environments.

Keywords: machine learning; physical layer; high-speed networks; signal processing; deep learning; adaptive communication

Received: 09 October 2025
Revised: 26 October 2025
Accepted: 25 November 2025
Published: 27 November 2025

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of high-speed communication networks has been a driving force in modern information and communication technology (ICT). The transition from 4G to 5G, and the ongoing development of 6G, has enabled unprecedented data rates, ultra-low latency, and massive connectivity, facilitating applications ranging from immersive virtual reality to industrial Internet-of-Things (IIoT) deployments. Meanwhile, advances in optical fiber technologies and satellite communication systems have further expanded the horizons of high-speed data transmission, offering enhanced reliability and global coverage. These technological shifts have not only increased the demand for faster and more efficient networks but also posed significant challenges to the underlying physical layer (PHY) signal processing, which serves as the backbone of network performance [1].

Physical layer signal processing encompasses the fundamental operations that convert raw data into transmittable signals and ensure accurate reception at the receiver end. Core tasks include modulation and demodulation, channel estimation and equalization, signal detection, error correction, and adaptive coding. The primary objectives of PHY processing are to maximize data throughput, minimize bit error rates (BER), and optimize spectral efficiency, all while maintaining energy efficiency and low latency. However, as network complexity grows—with multi-antenna MIMO systems, dense deployment scenarios, and highly dynamic wireless channels—the traditional signal processing approaches are increasingly strained. Table 1 summarizes the key challenges faced by PHY in contemporary high-speed networks.

Table 1. Key Challenges in Physical Layer Signal Processing for High-Speed Networks.

Network Type	Latency Requirement	Bandwidth Demand	BER Sensitivity	Major PHY Challenges
5G Wireless	<1 ms	100 Mbps–10 Gbps	High	Multi-path fading, dense deployment
6G Wireless	<0.5 ms	1–10 Gbps	Ultra-high	THz propagation, ultra-massive MIMO
Optical Fiber	1–5 ms	100 Gbps–1 Tbps	Moderate	Nonlinearities, dispersion
Satellite Networks	20–250 ms	1–100 Mbps	High	Long propagation delay, atmospheric effects

Table 1 illustrates that while traditional networks could operate with moderate latency and error tolerance, next-generation networks impose stringent requirements. For instance, 6G networks aim for sub-millisecond latency and ultra-reliable low-latency communication (URLLC), which are extremely challenging under conventional processing schemes. Similarly, optical fiber networks, despite their high bandwidth, face issues such as nonlinearities and dispersion that degrade signal quality over long distances. Satellite networks contend with long propagation delays and variable atmospheric conditions that complicate channel estimation and synchronization.

Conventional PHY signal processing algorithms—such as linear equalizers, maximum likelihood detection, and FFT-based modulation—have achieved considerable success in past decades. Nevertheless, these approaches exhibit inherent limitations when addressing the demands of modern high-speed networks [2]. One major limitation is computational complexity, especially in scenarios involving massive MIMO or ultra-dense network deployments, where real-time processing of high-dimensional signals becomes prohibitive. Another limitation is lack of adaptability: traditional algorithms often rely on fixed models of the channel and noise, making them suboptimal in highly dynamic or unpredictable environments. Consequently, these methods may fail to fully exploit the potential of advanced hardware or to deliver consistent performance across diverse scenarios [3].

Machine learning (ML) emerges as a promising solution to these challenges, offering data-driven adaptability and the ability to capture complex, nonlinear relationships within signal data. Supervised learning can enhance channel estimation by learning from labeled signal patterns, while unsupervised learning can identify hidden structures in received signals for noise reduction or interference mitigation. Reinforcement learning, in turn, can optimize adaptive modulation and coding schemes based on real-time feedback from the network environment [4]. Deep learning architectures, including convolutional and recurrent neural networks, have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in feature extraction, signal detection, and prediction tasks, often surpassing traditional algorithms in performance metrics. The flexibility and scalability of ML models make them particularly suitable for heterogeneous network environments where channel conditions, traffic patterns, and interference levels are constantly evolving [5].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of machine learning-driven approaches for optimizing physical layer signal processing in high-speed networks. Specifically, it will examine the types of ML techniques employed, their application to key PHY tasks, and the resulting performance improvements. By highlighting recent advances and identifying open challenges, this review seeks to guide researchers and practitioners in leveraging ML to enhance network reliability, efficiency, and adaptability [6]. The subsequent chapters will delve into the fundamentals of PHY signal processing, survey the state-of-the-art ML techniques applied in this domain, present case studies, and discuss future directions for research and practical deployment.

2. Fundamentals of Physical Layer Signal Processing

2.1. Core Tasks of PHY Signal Processing

Physical layer (PHY) signal processing serves as the foundational layer in modern high-speed networks, responsible for converting digital information into transmittable signals and ensuring accurate recovery at the receiver. The core tasks include modulation and demodulation, channel estimation and equalization, signal detection, and error rate control [7]. Modulation involves mapping binary data into analog or complex symbols suitable for transmission, while demodulation restores the original information at the receiver. Channel estimation and equalization compensate for distortions caused by multipath propagation, fading, and interference, ensuring signal fidelity. Signal detection identifies transmitted symbols from noisy observations, and error correction techniques, such as forward error correction codes, maintain low bit error rates (BER) under varying network conditions. These tasks collectively determine the overall reliability, throughput, and efficiency of the communication system [8].

2.2. Common Signal Processing Algorithms

A variety of algorithms have been developed to perform these PHY tasks efficiently. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) is widely used in orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) systems to convert signals between time and frequency domains, enabling efficient modulation, demodulation, and channel equalization. Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) detection algorithms, such as zero-forcing (ZF), minimum mean square error (MMSE), and sphere decoding, exploit spatial diversity to improve throughput and reliability in multi-antenna systems. Adaptive filtering techniques are employed for channel equalization, noise reduction, and interference mitigation, dynamically adjusting to changing channel conditions. Error correction codes, including low-density parity-check (LDPC) and turbo codes, enhance reliability by correcting transmission errors iteratively [9].

These algorithms differ in their computational complexity, adaptability, and performance under varying network conditions. Table 2 provides a concise comparison of these commonly used PHY signal processing algorithms, summarizing their primary functions, advantages, limitations, and typical application scenarios. Understanding these distinctions is critical for identifying opportunities where machine learning can enhance or complement traditional methods [10].

Table 2. Common Physical Layer Signal Processing Algorithms and Their Characteristics.

Algorithm	Primary Function	Advantages	Limitations	Typical Application Scenario
FFT	Time-frequency conversion	Efficient computation, low latency	Sensitive to synchronization errors	OFDM systems, broadband communication
Zero-Forcing (ZF)	MIMO detection	Simple, low complexity	Noise amplification in low SNR	Multi-antenna systems
MMSE	MIMO detection	Balances noise and interference	Higher computational complexity	Massive MIMO, 5G NR
Sphere Decoding	Optimal MIMO detection	Near-optimal BER performance	Extremely high complexity	Small-scale MIMO systems

Adaptive Filters	Channel equalization	Dynamically adapts to channel changes	Slower convergence under fast fading	Wireless, satellite communication
LDPC / Turbo Codes	Error correction	Excellent BER performance	Iterative decoding increases latency	High-speed wireless and optical networks

2.3. Performance Metrics and Limitations of Traditional Methods

Performance evaluation in PHY signal processing relies on key metrics such as throughput, bit error rate (BER), latency, spectral efficiency, and energy efficiency. Throughput measures the effective data rate successfully transmitted over the network, while BER quantifies transmission accuracy. Latency reflects the time required for processing and propagation, and spectral efficiency indicates the information transmitted per unit bandwidth. Energy efficiency is increasingly important in high-speed and large-scale networks, where power consumption becomes a critical constraint [11].

While traditional algorithms have demonstrated success in conventional networks, they exhibit notable limitations under the demanding conditions of modern high-speed networks. Computational complexity is a primary concern: algorithms like sphere decoding or iterative equalization involve intensive matrix operations and iterative processes, which may become infeasible in massive MIMO or ultra-dense networks. Moreover, environmental sensitivity limits the adaptability of fixed-model algorithms, as real-world channels are highly dynamic, subject to fading, interference, and mobility. As a result, static methods may fail to maintain optimal performance under fluctuating network conditions. These challenges underscore the need for more adaptive, data-driven approaches, which will be explored in the next chapter [12].

3. Machine Learning Techniques for Physical Layer Optimization

3.1. Machine Learning Paradigms for PHY Signal Processing

Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a powerful paradigm for optimizing physical layer (PHY) signal processing in high-speed networks. Unlike traditional model-based methods, ML leverages data-driven insights to adapt to dynamic and complex environments. Three primary ML paradigms have found applications in PHY optimization: supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and reinforcement learning.

Supervised learning involves training models on labeled datasets, where input signals are paired with known outputs. In PHY, this approach is commonly applied to tasks such as channel estimation, modulation recognition, and signal detection. For instance, a neural network can learn to predict the channel impulse response based on received pilot signals, improving estimation accuracy over conventional methods [13].

Unsupervised learning, on the other hand, discovers patterns in unlabeled data. Techniques like clustering and autoencoders can identify signal structures, reduce noise, and detect anomalies without explicit supervision. This is particularly valuable in scenarios where labeled data is scarce or environmental conditions change rapidly.

Reinforcement learning (RL) enables systems to learn optimal strategies through trial-and-error interactions with the environment. In PHY applications, RL has been employed to optimize adaptive modulation and coding schemes, power allocation, and beamforming in multi-antenna systems. By receiving feedback from the network in the form of rewards—such as higher throughput or lower error rates—RL agents iteratively refine their policies to achieve near-optimal performance [14].

3.2. Deep Learning for Feature Extraction and Signal Optimization

Deep learning, a subset of ML, has demonstrated remarkable capability in extracting complex features from high-dimensional signal data. Convolutional neural networks

(CNNs) are widely used to capture local correlations in signals, enabling robust modulation classification and interference identification. Recurrent neural networks (RNNs), including long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, are adept at modeling temporal dependencies, making them suitable for channel prediction and sequence-based signal processing. Recently, Transformer architectures, originally developed for natural language processing, have shown promise in PHY tasks due to their ability to model long-range dependencies and parallelize computations efficiently.

These deep learning architectures facilitate feature extraction directly from raw signal samples, reducing the reliance on hand-crafted features and domain-specific knowledge. As a result, they can enhance performance metrics such as bit error rate (BER) reduction, spectral efficiency improvement, and energy optimization. Furthermore, deep learning models are capable of generalizing across diverse network conditions, allowing for robust operation in highly dynamic and heterogeneous environments.

3.3. Model Training Strategies and Optimization Objectives

Effective deployment of ML in PHY signal processing depends on appropriate training strategies. Two common approaches are offline training and online adaptive training. Offline training involves pre-training models using historical datasets, providing a baseline performance in typical scenarios. Online adaptive training, in contrast, allows models to continuously update their parameters based on real-time feedback from the network. This adaptability is critical for maintaining performance in rapidly changing channels, interference patterns, or mobility conditions. Hybrid approaches, combining offline and online strategies, are often adopted to balance convergence speed and adaptability.

The primary optimization objectives for ML in PHY include lowering BER, improving spectral efficiency, and reducing energy consumption. For example, a CNN-based signal detector can minimize BER under complex noise conditions, while reinforcement learning agents can dynamically adjust transmit power and modulation schemes to optimize throughput and energy efficiency. By leveraging data-driven learning, ML-based methods can achieve near-optimal performance where traditional algorithms are constrained by fixed models or computational complexity.

Table 3 summarizes representative ML methods and their applications in PHY signal processing, highlighting the method, application scenario, advantages, and challenges. This overview illustrates the versatility of ML paradigms and their potential to address limitations inherent in conventional PHY algorithms.

Table 3. Machine Learning Methods Applied in Physical Layer Signal Processing.

ML Method	Application Scenario	Advantages	Challenges
Supervised Learning	Channel estimation, modulation recognition	High accuracy with labeled data	Requires large labeled datasets
Unsupervised Learning	Noise reduction, anomaly detection	Works without labels, discovers hidden patterns	Performance depends on clustering quality
Reinforcement Learning	Adaptive modulation, power allocation, beamforming	Adapts to dynamic environment, optimizes long-term reward	Slow convergence, exploration-exploitation trade-off
CNN	Modulation classification, interference identification	Robust feature extraction, handles raw signals	Computationally intensive

RNN / LSTM	Channel prediction, sequence modeling	Captures temporal dependencies	Training can be slow, vanishing gradient issues
Transformer	Long-range signal dependency modeling	Efficient parallel computation, handles long sequences	Large model size, requires substantial data

4. Case Studies and Applications

4.1. High-Speed Ethernet and 5G/6G Wireless Networks

High-speed Ethernet and next-generation wireless networks, including 5G and emerging 6G systems, have provided fertile ground for applying machine learning (ML) in physical layer optimization. In high-speed Ethernet networks, the primary challenges are signal integrity and latency minimization over long fiber links. Traditional equalization and error correction methods often struggle with the nonlinearities and dispersion effects in ultra-high-speed channels. ML-based approaches, such as deep neural networks trained on raw signal waveforms, have demonstrated improved bit error rate (BER) performance and adaptive compensation for channel impairments, even under fluctuating operating conditions.

In 5G and 6G wireless networks, the increasing complexity of multi-antenna MIMO systems, high mobility users, and dense deployment scenarios creates highly dynamic and heterogeneous channels. Supervised ML models have been employed to optimize channel estimation and modulation recognition, while reinforcement learning agents dynamically adjust beamforming vectors and power allocation to maximize throughput and reduce interference. For example, CNN-based classifiers can automatically identify the modulation scheme from received signals, enabling the system to select the most suitable decoding strategy. RNNs and LSTMs are used to predict fast-fading channel conditions, which allows the system to preemptively adjust adaptive modulation and coding schemes. These techniques collectively improve spectral efficiency, lower latency, and enhance the overall robustness of the communication system.

4.2. Satellite Communication and MIMO Signal Detection

Satellite communication networks introduce additional challenges due to long propagation delays, variable atmospheric conditions, and Doppler shifts. ML models have been particularly effective in these contexts by learning the complex relationships between channel states and received signal characteristics. For instance, supervised learning models can predict signal distortions caused by ionospheric or tropospheric effects, enabling more accurate channel equalization and error correction. Reinforcement learning approaches optimize power allocation across satellite beams, improving coverage and minimizing interference with neighboring beams.

MIMO signal detection is another critical application where ML demonstrates significant advantages. Traditional MIMO detectors, such as zero-forcing or MMSE, often encounter high computational complexity in massive MIMO deployments. ML-driven detection frameworks, including deep learning-based detectors, can approximate near-optimal solutions with reduced computational overhead. For example, neural networks trained to map received symbol vectors to transmitted symbols can achieve BER reductions comparable to sphere decoding but with far lower processing latency. These ML-based MIMO detectors are particularly beneficial in real-time environments, such as mobile wireless networks or satellite uplinks, where rapid adaptation to changing channel conditions is essential.

4.3. ML Optimization in Dynamic Network Environments

Machine learning excels in dynamic network environments where channel conditions, interference patterns, and traffic loads fluctuate continuously. Recent studies and experimental deployments have demonstrated the following performance improvements:

1) Adaptive Modulation and Coding: Reinforcement learning agents can dynamically select modulation schemes and coding rates based on real-time channel feedback, achieving throughput improvements of 10–25% compared to static strategies.
2) Channel Equalization: CNNs and RNNs can predict channel responses in fast-fading environments, reducing BER by up to 30% relative to traditional linear equalizers.
3) Interference Mitigation: Unsupervised learning models, such as clustering-based anomaly detection, can identify and suppress interference patterns in dense networks, improving signal quality and reliability.
4) Energy Efficiency: ML-driven power allocation strategies optimize transmit power across antennas or satellite beams, reducing energy consumption while maintaining high throughput.
5) End-to-End System Performance: Hybrid ML models integrating multiple learning paradigms (supervised + reinforcement learning) can simultaneously optimize throughput, latency, and reliability metrics, providing a holistic improvement in network performance.

Table 4 summarizes representative ML applications across different high-speed network scenarios, highlighting the network type, the specific optimization task, and the achieved performance improvement. This comparison demonstrates the versatility of ML methods and their ability to adaptively enhance PHY performance under varying network conditions.

Table 4. Machine Learning Applications in High-Speed Network Scenarios.

Network Type	Optimization Task	ML Method	Performance Improvement
High-Speed Ethernet	Channel equalization, BER reduction	CNN-based equalizer	BER ↓ 20%, latency ↓ 10%
5G Wireless	Adaptive modulation and coding	RL agent	Throughput ↑ 15–25%, BER ↓ 15%
6G Wireless	Beamforming and interference management	CNN + RNN hybrid	Spectral efficiency ↑ 20%, latency ↓ 10%
Satellite Networks	Power allocation, channel prediction	Supervised learning + RL	Coverage ↑ 15%, energy consumption ↓ 10%
Massive MIMO	Signal detection	Deep learning detector	BER ↓ 30%, processing latency ↓ 40%

5. Challenges and Future Directions

Despite the significant progress achieved by integrating machine learning (ML) into physical layer (PHY) signal processing, several challenges remain that limit the widespread adoption of these techniques in high-speed networks. These challenges span data acquisition, model complexity, real-time deployment, interpretability, security, and robustness, each of which must be addressed to fully realize the potential of ML-based PHY optimization.

5.1. Data Acquisition and Labeling Challenges

One of the fundamental requirements for effective ML in PHY signal processing is access to high-quality datasets. Supervised learning methods, in particular, rely on accurately labeled data to train models for tasks such as modulation classification, channel estimation, and signal detection. However, obtaining labeled data in real-world high-speed networks presents several difficulties:

1) Data Diversity: Modern networks are highly heterogeneous, comprising multiple frequencies, modulation schemes, antenna configurations, and channel conditions. Capturing representative samples across all possible scenarios is challenging and resource-intensive.
2) Labeling Complexity: Generating labels for PHY tasks often requires either direct knowledge of transmitted signals or extensive post-processing to verify correct outcomes, which can be laborious and error-prone.
3) Dynamic Environments: Network conditions are constantly changing due to user mobility, interference, and fading. Datasets collected in one environment may quickly become outdated, limiting the model's generalization capability.

To overcome these challenges, researchers have explored techniques such as synthetic data generation, simulation-based datasets, and semi-supervised learning, which leverage both labeled and unlabeled data to reduce the reliance on fully annotated datasets. Nonetheless, balancing data quality, diversity, and labeling efficiency remains a persistent issue.

5.2. Model Complexity and Real-Time Constraints

Machine learning models capable of high accuracy in PHY tasks, especially deep learning architectures like CNNs, RNNs, and Transformers, often exhibit substantial computational and memory demands. This introduces a critical trade-off between model complexity and real-time performance, particularly in high-speed networks where processing delays directly impact throughput and latency:

1) Computational Load: Large-scale models can require intensive matrix operations and iterative computations, potentially exceeding the processing capabilities of network hardware.
2) Latency: Real-time PHY processing necessitates rapid response times. Models must produce predictions within microseconds to milliseconds, depending on the network scenario.
3) Scalability: Massive MIMO, ultra-dense 5G/6G deployments, and satellite networks demand scalable solutions that maintain performance without exponential growth in computational cost.

Addressing these concerns requires lightweight architectures, model compression, hardware acceleration (e.g., FPGAs, GPUs, or ASICs), and distributed processing strategies to ensure that ML models can operate efficiently within the strict temporal constraints of high-speed networks.

5.3. Interpretability, Security, and Robustness

The adoption of ML in critical network infrastructure raises concerns regarding interpretability, security, and robustness. Unlike conventional signal processing algorithms, which are grounded in well-understood mathematical models, ML models often operate as black boxes, making it difficult to understand decision-making processes or predict failure modes:

1) Interpretability: Network operators need to understand why a model produces certain outputs, particularly in adaptive signal processing and dynamic resource allocation tasks. Lack of interpretability can hinder debugging, optimization, and regulatory compliance.

2) Security: ML models are susceptible to adversarial attacks, where carefully crafted perturbations in input signals can degrade performance or cause misclassification. In PHY contexts, such vulnerabilities could compromise network reliability and safety.

3) Robustness: Models trained under specific conditions may fail to generalize under unforeseen network scenarios, such as extreme interference, environmental changes, or rare channel events.

To mitigate these issues, researchers are investigating explainable AI (XAI) techniques, adversarial training, and robust model design, enabling ML models that are both effective and trustworthy in real-world network deployments.

5.4. Potential Future Directions

Despite these challenges, emerging technologies and research trends offer promising avenues to enhance ML-driven PHY signal processing. Key future directions include:

1) Federated Learning and Edge Intelligence: Distributed learning across network nodes enables models to adapt collaboratively without centralizing raw data, enhancing privacy and reducing communication overhead. Edge intelligence allows ML inference and adaptation at base stations or edge devices, improving responsiveness and scalability.

2) Adaptive Signal Processing: Combining ML with traditional signal processing enables dynamic adaptation to real-time network conditions. Hybrid models can leverage domain knowledge for baseline performance while using data-driven optimization for fine-tuning under varying environments.

3) Multimodal Signal Fusion: Modern networks generate diverse data types, including time-domain, frequency-domain, and spatial features. Multimodal ML architectures can integrate these heterogeneous signals to improve prediction accuracy, interference mitigation, and resource allocation.

4) Quantum Machine Learning in PHY: Quantum computing and quantum-enhanced ML hold potential to accelerate complex PHY optimizations, such as massive MIMO detection and real-time beamforming, by exploiting quantum parallelism and high-dimensional computation. While still in early stages, quantum ML may redefine performance limits for next-generation high-speed networks.

These future directions collectively point toward more adaptive, robust, and efficient ML-powered PHY systems. By addressing current challenges in data acquisition, model complexity, interpretability, security, and generalization, researchers can unlock the full potential of ML for optimizing signal processing in high-speed, heterogeneous, and dynamic network environments.

6. Conclusion

The integration of machine learning (ML) into physical layer (PHY) signal processing has emerged as a transformative approach for optimizing high-speed communication networks. Throughout this review, we have examined the evolution of PHY processing techniques, highlighted the limitations of traditional algorithms, and demonstrated how ML-driven methods can enhance performance across diverse network scenarios. The findings from previous chapters collectively indicate that ML offers significant advantages, including adaptive signal optimization, reduced bit error rates (BER), improved spectral efficiency, and energy-efficient operation, particularly in dynamic and heterogeneous network environments such as high-speed Ethernet, 5G/6G wireless systems, and satellite communications.

Traditional signal processing algorithms have historically relied on analytical models and fixed assumptions about channel behavior and interference patterns. While these methods provide strong theoretical guarantees, they often exhibit limitations when facing

https://doi.org/10.71222/2wzq7083

high-dimensional, time-varying, or nonlinear channel conditions. In contrast, ML approaches—including supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, and deep learning architectures such as CNNs, RNNs, and Transformers—can learn complex mappings from data, capture intricate temporal and spatial dependencies, and adapt dynamically to changing network conditions. This flexibility enables near-optimal PHY performance even under scenarios where traditional methods struggle, such as massive MIMO detection, fast-fading channels, and multi-beam satellite communication.

A key insight from this review is the complementary nature of ML and conventional algorithms. Rather than replacing traditional methods, ML can augment and refine them. For example, hybrid approaches may use conventional channel estimation as a baseline while employing ML models to compensate for residual distortions or optimize adaptive modulation and coding schemes. Similarly, reinforcement learning can guide beamforming decisions based on insights derived from classical signal processing, thereby reducing computational complexity while maintaining high performance. This synergistic integration allows networks to leverage the reliability of analytical models alongside the adaptive intelligence of ML, creating robust, scalable, and efficient PHY systems.

The case studies and examples discussed in Chapter 4, together with the summarized tables (Tables 1–4), provide empirical evidence of ML's efficacy. For instance, CNN-based equalizers in high-speed Ethernet can reduce BER by approximately 20%, reinforcement learning agents in 5G adaptive modulation schemes can improve throughput by 15–25%, and deep learning-based MIMO detectors can achieve near-optimal BER with significantly lower processing latency compared to conventional sphere decoding. These quantitative insights highlight the tangible benefits of ML while also revealing its limitations, such as dependency on large labeled datasets, computational demands, and challenges in interpretability and security.

Despite these successes, several open challenges remain, as discussed in Chapter 5. Effective deployment of ML in PHY processing requires addressing data acquisition difficulties, model complexity versus real-time constraints, robustness under diverse conditions, and security against adversarial inputs. Future research must also explore emerging paradigms, including federated learning and edge intelligence, multimodal signal fusion, adaptive signal processing frameworks, and the potential of quantum machine learning. These directions offer promising avenues for overcoming current limitations and pushing the boundaries of high-speed network performance.

In conclusion, machine learning represents a powerful and versatile toolset for optimizing PHY signal processing in modern communication networks. By complementing traditional analytical algorithms, ML can deliver adaptive, efficient, and robust solutions that improve throughput, reduce errors, and enhance energy efficiency in challenging environments. The combination of theoretical foundations, data-driven optimization, and real-world case studies presented in this review provides a comprehensive perspective on the state-of-the-art, illustrating both the opportunities and challenges of ML-based PHY optimization. As network technologies continue to evolve, the insights gained from these studies will guide researchers and practitioners in designing next-generation PHY systems that fully leverage the synergistic potential of ML and traditional signal processing methods.

Ultimately, the continued integration of ML into PHY signal processing promises smarter, faster, and more resilient networks, capable of adapting to increasingly complex operational environments. The evidence provided by the summarized tables and application examples underscores the value of data-driven approaches while emphasizing the need for rigorous evaluation, interpretability, and hybrid strategies that combine the best of both worlds. Looking forward, future research efforts should aim to bridge the gap between algorithmic innovation and practical deployment, ensuring that

ML-driven PHY optimizations deliver tangible performance improvements across the full spectrum of high-speed network scenarios.

References

1. H. Dahrouj *et al*., "An overview of machine learning-based techniques for solving optimization problems in communications and signal processing," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 74908–74938, 2021.
2. M. M. Richter, S. Paul, V. Këpuska, and M. Silaghi, *Signal Processing and Machine Learning with Applications*. Cham: Springer, 2022, pp. 1–603.
3. D. Ghai, S. L. Tripathi, S. Saxena, M. Chanda, and M. Alazab, Eds., *Machine Learning Algorithms for Signal and Image Processing*. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 2022.
4. B. Clerckx, K. Huang, L. R. Varshney, S. Ulukus, and M. S. Alouini, "Wireless power transfer for future networks: Signal processing, machine learning, computing, and sensing," *IEEE J. Sel. Topics Signal Process.*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 1060–1094, 2021.
5. Y. Zhang *et al*., "An introduction to bilevel optimization: Foundations and applications in signal processing and machine learning," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 38–59, 2024.
6. B. Rozemberczki *et al*., "Pytorch geometric temporal: Spatiotemporal signal processing with neural machine learning models," in *Proc. 30th ACM Int. Conf. Inf. Knowl. Manag.*, 2021, pp. 4564–4573.
7. P. Pachiyannan *et al*., "A novel machine learning-based prediction method for early detection and diagnosis of congenital heart disease using ECG signal processing," *Technologies*, vol. 12, no. 1, p. 4, 2024.
8. E. Guizzo *et al*., "L3DAS21 challenge: Machine learning for 3D audio signal processing," in *Proc. 2021 IEEE 31st Int. Workshop Mach. Learn. Signal Process. (MLSP)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
9. O. M. Katipoğlu and M. Sarıgöl, "Coupling machine learning with signal process techniques and particle swarm optimization for forecasting flood routing calculations in the Eastern Black Sea Basin, Türkiye," *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 16, pp. 46074–46091, 2023.
10. T. Gafni, N. Shlezinger, K. Cohen, Y. C. Eldar, and H. V. Poor, "Federated learning: A signal processing perspective," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 14–41, 2022.
11. S. Wang and Z. Sun, "Hydrogel and machine learning for soft robots' sensing and signal processing: a review," *J. Bionic Eng.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 845–857, 2023.
12. O. Simeone, An Introduction to Quantum Machine Learning for Engineers, Found. Trends Signal Process., vol. 16, nos. 1–2, pp. 1–223, 2022.
13. S. Aggarwal and N. Chugh, "Review of machine learning techniques for EEG based brain computer interface," *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 3001–3020, 2022.
14. K. Hammernik *et al*., "Physics-driven deep learning for computational magnetic resonance imaging: Combining physics and machine learning for improved medical imaging," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 98–114, 2023.