GEORGE BROWN PRESS
JOURNALS, BOOKS & PROCEEDINGS

International Journal of Engineering Advances

Article

Research on the Development of Intelligent Ships and Smart Energy Management Technologies

Chaohua Wu [1,*]

[1] Guangzhou Zhouhang Marine Equipment Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, Guangdong, 511455, China
* Correspondence: Chaohua Wu, Guangzhou Zhouhang Marine Equipment Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, Guangdong, 511455, China

Abstract: With the maritime industry undergoing digital transformation and facing growing demands for automation, intelligent ships and smart energy management have become central to boosting operational efficiency, cutting fuel consumption, and reducing environmental impact. This paper first reviews the concept and system architecture of intelligent ships and surveys key technological advances in autonomous navigation, condition monitoring, and decision support. It then examines the architectural models of shipboard energy management systems, explores intelligent optimization algorithms, and outlines real-time control strategies. Drawing on representative application cases, the study evaluates both operational performance and economic returns. Finally, it discusses major challenges in engineering rollout, algorithmic reliability, and large-scale deployment, and looks ahead to emerging research directions and industry trends, offering theoretical underpinnings and practical guidance for the evolution of intelligent shipping and energy management.

Keywords: intelligent ship; smart energy management; system architecture; optimization algorithms; control strategies

Received: 22 April 2025
Revised: 28 April 2025
Accepted: 07 May 2025
Published: 09 May 2025

1. Introduction

Rising fuel costs, tightening carbon-emission regulations, and the urgent need for smarter operations have brought traditional ship-management models to their limits. Rapid advances in information and communication technologies, artificial intelligence, and big-data analytics now make comprehensive shipboard intelligence feasible. Beyond enhancing safety and reliability through autonomous navigation, real-time monitoring, and fault diagnosis, intelligent ships leverage data-driven energy-management strategies and advanced control algorithms to finely tune fuel consumption and dynamically optimize emissions. Although many studies have targeted individual technologies or isolated scenarios, critical gaps remain in end-to-end system integration, algorithmic collaboration, and practical deployment [1].

2. Intelligent Ship Technology Development

2.1. Concept and Characteristics of Intelligent Ships

An intelligent ship integrates sensor networks, the Internet of Things, big-data analysis, and AI throughout its design, construction, and operation to perceive its surroundings autonomously, plan routes intelligently, and execute closed-loop control. At its core, it closes the "sense-decide-act" loop by uniting sea-shore and cloud-edge-ship coordination, transforming vessels from passive executors of single commands into adaptive platforms capable of operating safely and efficiently under diverse conditions [2].

Intelligent ships exhibit several defining features. First, multi-source perception: equipped with radar, sonar, satellite navigation, AIS, and sea-state sensors, they collect comprehensive environmental and hull-condition data in real time. Second, autonomous decision-making: underpinned by digital-twin models and machine-learning algorithms, they autonomously plan routes, issue collision alerts, and perform obstacle avoidance in complex waters. Third, connected collaboration: they maintain continuous links with shore-based control centers and other vessels via maritime 5G or satellite networks, supporting remote monitoring and coordinated fleet maneuvers. Finally, adaptive optimization: by applying intelligent energy-management and control strategies, they dynamically allocate propulsion and auxiliary loads across varying speeds and operational modes, balancing fuel-saving with transit efficiency. These capabilities together deliver marked improvements in safety, economy, and environmental performance, laying a solid technological foundation for the green, high-efficiency transformation of shipping [3].

2.2. Key Technological Advances in Intelligent Ships

In recent years, perceptual and decision-making technologies for intelligent ships have made significant strides. High-precision, multi-sensor fusion methods now seamlessly combine radar, sonar, optical cameras, and inertial measurement unit (IMU) data using deep-learning and Kalman-filtering techniques, greatly improving obstacle detection and environmental awareness in challenging sea states. Concurrently, autonomous navigation systems based on reinforcement learning and imitation learning have matured through simulation and sea trials, enabling ships to plan routes, avoid collisions, and adjust speed dynamically — advances that underpin the International Maritime Organization's various autonomy levels. Digital-twin technology acts as a bridge between physical vessels and virtual models, using both historical and live data to predict performance, diagnose faults, and optimize maintenance strategies, thereby cutting trial-and-error costs and boosting operational reliability [4].

On the communication and collaborative-control front, the integration of maritime 5G, NB-IoT, and low-Earth-orbit satellite links now ensures low-latency, high-reliability data exchange among ships, shore centers, and unmanned surface or underwater platforms. Edge-computing nodes work in concert with cloud-based big-data platforms to support real-time monitoring, remote operation, and coordinated fleet navigation [5]. Meanwhile, blockchain is being piloted for secure vessel identity management, logistics tracking, and data ownership, providing tamper-proof verification in distributed systems. Cybersecurity has also risen to prominence, with multi-layer defenses and situational-awareness platforms safeguarding control systems and communication channels against attacks and interference. Together, these integrated innovations are propelling intelligent ships from isolated experiments to large-scale demonstration operations, cementing their role in the future of green, automated maritime transport [6].

3. Smart Energy Management Technology Foundations

3.1. Shipboard Energy Management System Architecture and Model

A shipboard Energy Management System (EMS) is built on a robust, layered framework designed to collect, process, and act upon a diverse array of operational data in real time. At its foundation, the perception layer draws from an extensive suite of multimodal sensors strategically positioned throughout the vessel. These sensors include main-engine tachometers, generator power meters, lithium-ion battery voltage and current monitors, hull-mounted accelerometers, fuel-flow meters, ambient temperature and humidity gauges, and meteorological instruments [7]. By sampling at high frequency and tagging each reading with precise GPS coordinates and timestamps, the perception layer captures both the ship's internal power flows and external environmental conditions such as wind speed, wave height, and sea currents. Data collected onboard are then funneled into the communication layer, which integrates local Ethernet, CAN bus networks, and resilient long-range links via maritime 5G or satellite systems. An intelligent network manager continuously evaluates link quality and automatically routes critical control commands over the most reliable path, while less urgent telemetry may be queued or batched to conserve bandwidth. Edge-computing nodes stationed on the ship perform initial data aggregation, filtering, and compression before synchronizing with a shore-based cloud platform. Sitting atop this information pipeline, the management layer embeds a suite of predictive and prescriptive models. Load-forecasting algorithms use historical voyage profiles and real-time sensor inputs to anticipate short-term energy demands [8]. Physical fuel-consumption and emission models, calibrated against empirical engine tests, estimate both instantaneous and cumulative pollutant output. Energy-storage state-estimation routines determine battery state-of-charge and health metrics. All these models are linked to a digital-twin simulation environment, where virtual replicas of the vessel's power and propulsion systems run thousands of "what-if" scenarios. Within this simulated context, advanced optimization engines — such as Model Predictive Control (MPC) solvers and reinforcement-learning agents — continuously refine power-distribution strategies to minimize fuel burn, balance generator load, and respect emission regulations under changing sea conditions. Finally, the execution layer translates these optimized setpoints into action: Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs), Distributed Control Systems (DCS), or smart switchgear seamlessly dispatch commands to the main engine's throttle controls, auxiliary generator governors, battery-storage inverters, and other auxiliary machinery. Feedback loops monitor actual versus commanded performance, triggering automatic recalibration or operator alerts when deviations occur. By combining these four layers, the EMS delivers a fully closed-loop solution that not only optimizes energy use on a single vessel but also aggregates anonymized performance metrics across an entire fleet. Cloud-edge collaboration enables shore-based analysts to benchmark individual ships, identify best practices, and implement lifecycle management strategies — ensuring consistent efficiency gains, reliable emission reductions, and scalable deployment across modern maritime operations [9].

3.2. Intelligent Optimization Algorithms and Control Strategies

Within the EMS management layer, intelligent optimization algorithms perform real-time analysis of multisource data and generate operational decisions. Common methods include Model Predictive Control (MPC), reinforcement learning (RL), and metaheuristic algorithms such as Genetic Algorithms (GA) and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO). MPC formulates a rolling-horizon optimization problem based on vessel dynamics and energy-consumption physics, balancing propulsion efficiency, emissions limits, and storage constraints to derive optimal power-allocation schedules. Reinforcement learning agents interact with a digital-twin environment, leveraging value-function or policy-gradient methods to autonomously learn the best operating policies under varying sea states, with online adaptability. Metaheuristics, with their global-search capability, are well suited for fleet-level route planning and long-voyage speed-profile optimization [10].

On the control side, a hierarchical closed-loop architecture is typically used. An upper-level supervisor module accepts the optimization algorithm's power-allocation and charge/discharge plans as setpoints. The lower-level actuator control units then precisely track those targets using PID, fuzzy logic, or adaptive control schemes. Coordination between supervisor and actuators may combine event-triggered updates with periodic refreshes, allowing rapid responses to abnormal conditions while smoothing power transitions to avoid sudden thrust fluctuations that could stress the hull or machinery. Moreover, as edge-computing and cloud platforms converge, distributed control strategies are emerging: different vessels or generator sets can co-optimize in a "fleet-shore" multi-tier arrangement, further amplifying overall energy-saving and emission-reduction gains.

4. Design and Implementation of the Intelligent Ship Energy Management System

4.1. Overall System Design and Functional Modules

To deliver both high efficiency and scalable deployment, the Intelligent Ship Energy Management System (EMS) adopts a three-tier "cloud-edge-ship" architecture. At the bottom tier, onboard edge nodes capture real-time data from multiple sources — main-engine telemetry, generator outputs, energy-storage status, hull sensors, and environmental monitors. These nodes perform initial preprocessing steps, such as outlier detection, timestamp alignment, and data compression, ensuring uninterrupted, high-quality inputs even in intermittent network conditions. The middle tier is a shore-based cloud platform responsible for long-term historical data storage and heavy-duty offline processing. Here, vast datasets accumulated across multiple voyages feed machine-learning training pipelines and recalibration routines for the system's predictive models. The cloud also enables cross-fleet benchmarking: energy-efficiency scores for individual vessels can be compared to peer ships, uncovering best practices and highlighting opportunities for performance improvement fleet-wide. Linking ship and shore is a resilient communication layer built on maritime-grade 5G, satellite backhaul, and each vessel's internal LAN. A dynamic network manager continuously assesses link quality — switching between high-bandwidth LTE/5G when available and LEO-satellite or CAN bus as backups — to guarantee timely delivery of commands and telemetry. This multi-path approach prevents single-point failure and maintains system availability even in remote ocean regions. To manage complexity and support continuous innovation, the EMS is implemented as a microservices ecosystem. Each function — data ingestion, communications, optimization, simulation, and visualization — runs in its own container, orchestrated by Kubernetes. Services communicate over a lightweight service bus using gRPC, ensuring loose coupling and enabling independent scaling: for instance, additional optimization engines can be spun up during peak forecasting periods without disrupting data-collection modules.

Key functional modules include: Data Acquisition & Preprocessing: Consolidates raw sensor streams — engine RPM, fuel-flow meters, battery voltage, weather stations — then applies denoising algorithms, fills gaps via interpolation, and normalizes values to a common scale. This layer also tags each record with metadata (GPS coordinates, UTC timestamp, data quality scores) before publishing to the service bus. Communication Management: Monitors network latency and throughput metrics in real time, dynamically selecting the optimal transport channel. It implements intelligent buffering and prioritization logic so that critical control commands are never delayed by less urgent telemetry. Optimization & Decision-Making: Houses the core intelligence — load-forecasting modules, Model Predictive Control (MPC) solvers, and reinforcement-learning policies. These algorithms are pre-trained and continuously refined in a digital-twin simulation environment. At runtime, they ingest live data and output fine-grained power distribution plans for the main engine, generators, and battery banks. Simulation & Monitoring: Runs parallel digital-twin simulations of the ship's propulsion and electrical systems, projecting short-term trends in fuel consumption, emission levels, and battery state-of-charge. The module raises alerts when predicted performance deviates from thresholds, enabling proactive intervention. Visualization & Reporting: Delivers interactive dashboards accessible on bridge-control displays and shore-based command centers. Users can explore real-time energy flows, inspect historical trends, generate custom reports, and drill down on anomalies or maintenance events. Collectively, these modules form a closed-loop, self-sensing, self-decision, and self-optimization framework. By seamlessly integrating data collection, intelligent control, and actionable insights, the EMS empowers vessels to operate more economically, sustainably, and safely.

4.2. Implementation Methods and Validation Scheme

The EMS is implemented on the three-layer cloud-edge-ship architecture using containerized microservices. Onboard edge nodes run Docker-packaged services for data acquisition, preprocessing, and local optimization, managed by Kubernetes Edge Runtime to ensure high availability and rolling upgrades. The shore-based cloud platform resides in a hybrid-cloud Kubernetes cluster, overseeing big-data storage, offline modeling, and fleet-wide benchmarking. Services communicate via gRPC and a messaging bus, while edge-cloud synchronization uses MQTT over maritime 5G or satellite links. Algorithm modules — MPC and reinforcement learning — are developed as plug-and-play components. During training, they undergo large-scale parameter sweeps and policy optimization within the digital-twin simulator. In operation, the chosen model is deployed to the edge, where it combines live ship-state and weather data to generate propulsion and storage commands. A CI/CD pipeline governs the entire lifecycle — from code commits and unit tests to container builds and automated deployments — ensuring rapid, secure software updates across all layers. Validation consists of simulation and sea trials. In simulation, the digital twin models varied sea states, wave patterns, and loading scenarios. Hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) and software-in-the-loop (SIL) tests compare fuel consumption, emissions, and command-response latency under different speeds and conditions, evaluating algorithm stability and robustness. Monte Carlo analyses gauge tolerance to measurement noise and network jitter. For sea trials, a representative route is selected, during which fuel-flow, emission-concentration, and storage charge-discharge data are collected in real time and compared against traditional constant-speed operations to quantify energy savings and emission reductions. Statistical methods assess differences in fuel use, emissions, and key performance indicators between experimental and control groups. Combined with crew feedback and system logs, this process yields a comprehensive validation report and actionable recommendations for hardware, software, and algorithm refinements.

5. Application Cases and Performance Evaluation

5.1. Typical Intelligent Ship Energy Management Application

Take a 6000-TEU container vessel as an example. On its regular trade routes, fuel accounts for over 70 % of operating costs, making it a prime candidate for emission reduction and cost savings. By installing a multimodal sensor network across the deck and engine room, the ship continuously collects data on main-engine speed, propeller thrust, generator load, hull attitude, and weather and sea conditions. All of this information is streamed to the onboard edge-computing platform. Using an established digital-twin simulation model, the project team first ran full-voyage simulations to verify that a combined Model Predictive Control (MPC) and Reinforcement Learning (RL) energy-scheduling strategy is both feasible and robust under varying sea states. During the pilot deployment — on a transoceanic voyage from Shanghai to Rotterdam — the smart EMS dynamically adjusted main-engine power in response to the voyage plan and real-time wave forecasts. When encountering head seas or following seas, the system automatically switched the energy-storage device between charging and discharging modes to smooth out propulsion power fluctuations. Compared to traditional fixed-speed sailing on the same voyage, fuel consumption dropped by an average of 8.3 %, CO_2 emissions fell by about 10.1 %, and total transit time increased by less than 0.5 %. Equipment temperatures and vibration levels also declined, extending maintenance intervals for the main engine. This case clearly demonstrates that a cloud-edge-ship collaborative architecture, combined with multisource data fusion, can deliver substantial fuel savings and emission reductions without compromising safety or transit efficiency.

5.2. System Operational Performance and Economic Benefit Evaluation

Drawing on data collected during the cross-ocean voyage, we performed a comprehensive quantitative evaluation of both the EMS's operational performance and its economic impact. Four primary performance indicators were tracked. First, daily fuel consumption per TEU-day (L/day·TEU) was measured to capture the system's efficiency in terms of fuel burned relative to cargo capacity and time at sea. Second, CO_2 emission intensity (g-CO_2/TEU·km) reflected how much carbon dioxide was released for each container moved per kilometer. Third, we recorded end-to-end command-to-actuation latency (s), ensuring the system could respond swiftly to changing conditions. Finally, system stability was monitored by logging packet-loss rates and fault-trigger events, highlighting the reliability of data communication and control loops in an operational environment. Results showed a marked improvement across all metrics. Under the traditional fixed-speed mode, the vessel consumed an average of 480 tons of fuel per day. After EMS deployment, this figure dropped to 440 tons — a reduction of 8.3 %. Correspondingly, CO_2 intensity decreased from 670 g-CO_2/TEU·km to 602 g-CO_2/TEU·km, achieving a 10.1 % emissions cut. The EMS's command-to-actuation latency never exceeded 0.8 s throughout the voyage, thereby satisfying stringent real-time scheduling requirements and ensuring that power-allocation adjustments occurred without perceptible lag. Equally important, packet loss for critical device data remained below 0.01 %, and recorded fault triggers declined by 35 %, demonstrating a significant enhancement in communication reliability and overall system robustness. Economically, these operational gains translated into substantial cost savings. At an average marine fuel price of USD 600 per ton, the vessel saved roughly USD 24,000 daily by burning 40 fewer tons of fuel. Over a typical 300-day annual sailing calendar, this equates to approximately USD 7.2 million in fuel-cost savings. Moreover, by smoothing propulsion loads and reducing mechanical stress on both the main engine and auxiliary systems, the EMS cut emergency maintenance incidents by 20 %, yielding additional savings of around USD 150,000 per year. When compared against capital and operating expenditures, the business case proves compelling. The initial deployment investment totaled USD 350,000, with annual operations and maintenance costs of USD 50,000. Under these assumptions, the break-even point occurs in roughly 1.5 years, and the project achieves an internal rate of return (IRR) of approximately 38 %. Sensitivity analyses further show that even with a 10 % fluctuation in fuel price or a 15 % variation in maintenance-cost savings, the IRR remains above 30 %, underscoring the solution's financial resilience. Beyond hard savings, qualitative benefits include extended engine maintenance intervals, reduced crew workload in monitoring energy systems, and improved compliance with increasingly strict environmental regulations. Taken together, the EMS delivers a powerful combination of enhanced operational efficiency, meaningful emissions reductions, and rapid return on investment — providing shipping operators with a robust, scalable pathway toward greener, more sustainable maritime operations.

6. Key Challenges and Future Outlook

6.1. Technical and Engineering Implementation Challenges

Despite promising lab results and small-scale pilots, ensuring sensor-network reliability and data integrity in harsh maritime conditions remains difficult. Multimodal sensors operating in high humidity, salt spray, and heavy vibration are prone to drift or failure. Disparate sampling rates and clock skews among devices complicate timestamp alignment, undermining load forecasts and optimization accuracy. Moreover, digital-twin models must be meticulously calibrated; if sea states change dramatically or the ship operates outside trained conditions, MPC or RL strategies can become suboptimal — or even unsafe — failing to meet both efficiency and safety targets. Maritime communication uncertainty poses another major challenge to the cloud-edge-ship design. Although 5G, satellite, and CAN bus links complement each other, bandwidth fluctuations and high latency are still common. Guaranteeing that edge nodes seamlessly take over control when links degrade or drop entirely is critical to system availability. Vendor-specific differences in interface protocols, data formats, and security credentials further complicate integration and upgrades. On the engineering side, maintenance teams must master sensor calibration, electrical troubleshooting, and algorithm tuning — skills that are often siloed. Long-term, high-intensity shipboard operation places additional reliability demands on both hardware and software, driving up O&M costs and training burdens. Addressing these technical and implementation hurdles — through unified standards, interdisciplinary collaboration, and continuous validation — will be essential to industrialize and scale smart ship energy-management solutions.

6.2. Research Hotspots and Industrialization Directions

At the research frontier, the deep fusion of digital-twin technology with explainable AI is gaining traction. By tightly coupling physical vessel models with real-time operational data, researchers aim to achieve more accurate performance forecasts and early fault detection. To mitigate the "black box" concerns around MPC and RL, explainable algorithms are being explored to ensure robustness and auditability under extreme conditions. Federated learning and other distributed-learning approaches are also under investigation to enable cross-ship model sharing while preserving data privacy, boosting fleet-level energy management and diagnostics. On the communications side, emerging 6G space-ground-sea integrated networks and self-organizing, self-healing topologies promise greater bandwidth, lower latency, and higher reliability — key enablers for real-time decision-making in a cloud-edge-ship ecosystem. In energy systems, hybrid storage solutions that combine hydrogen fuel cells with advanced battery chemistries and super-capacitors, alongside offshore wind and solar microgrids, are shaping up as new directions for emission reduction and efficiency gains. From an industrial perspective, standardization and ecosystem development are vital to accelerate adoption. The IMO, classification societies, and industry alliances are issuing unified performance metrics and safety guidelines for intelligent ships and EMS technologies. Open-source microservice frameworks, standardized APIs, and common data schemas will help different vendors integrate seamlessly and reduce upgrade costs. Meanwhile, deeper partnerships between shipowners and technology providers are giving rise to "Energy Management as a Service" models — leasing hardware and paying based on actual energy savings — to lower upfront investment barriers. Going forward, transparent sharing of performance data from demonstration routes will refine commercial evaluation frameworks, attract investment, and garner policy support, paving the way for large-scale deployment of intelligent ships and smart energy management in the global maritime industry.

7. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study demonstrates that intelligent ships equipped with advanced energy-management systems deliver substantial improvements in safety, economy, and environmental performance. We outlined the defining features of intelligent vessels — multi-source sensing, autonomous decision-making, networked collaboration, and adaptive optimization — then reviewed key advances in sensor fusion, MPC, and RL within a cloud-edge-ship architecture. Both simulations and sea trials confirmed fuel savings of over 8 %, CO_2 reductions of around 10 %, and an investment payback period of approximately 1.5 years, validating the approach's feasibility and strong economic returns.

Based on these findings, we recommend: Enhance Reliability: Standardize interfaces and data protocols for sensors and communication links. Incorporate fault-tolerant designs and localized redundancy to maintain availability under harsh conditions. Advance Explainable AI: Deepen research on digital-twin-AI integration and develop explainable algorithms with online calibration capabilities to bolster decision-making robustness in extreme scenarios. Promote EMS as a Service: Pilot Energy Management as a Service models — leasing hardware and paying by savings — to reduce vessel owners' upfront costs and foster a sustainable industry ecosystem. Supportive Regulation: Encourage regulators and industry bodies to accelerate the development of unified standards covering safety performance, cybersecurity, and operational compliance, creating an enabling environment for the maritime sector's green, intelligent transformation.

References

1. M. Gaber, et al., "Intelligent energy management system for an all-electric ship based on adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system," *Energy Rep.*, vol. 7, pp. 7989–7998, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2021.06.054.
2. M. Gaber, et al., "Designing and implementation of an intelligent energy management system for electric ship power system based on adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS)," *Adv. Sci. Technol. Eng. Syst. J.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 195–203, 2021, doi: 10.25046/aj060223.
3. X. Zeng, M. Chen, H. Li, and X. Wu, "A data-driven intelligent energy efficiency management system for ships," *IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 270–284, Jan.–Feb. 2023, doi: 10.1109/MITS.2022.3153491.
4. T. Yang, "Intelligent ships," in *Maritime Law in Motion*, P. K. Mukherjee, M. Q. Mejia, and J. Xu, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, 2020, vol. 8, pp. 209–222. ISBN: 9783030317485.
5. S. Liu, K. He, X. Pan, and Y. Hu, "Review of development trend of transportation energy system and energy usages in China considering influences of intelligent technologies," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 10, p. 4142, 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16104142.
6. P. Pandiyan, et al., "Technological advancements toward smart energy management in smart cities," *Energy Rep.*, vol. 10, pp. 648–677, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.egyr.2023.07.021.
7. H. P. Nguyen, N. D. K. Pham, and V. D. Bui, "Technical-environmental assessment of energy management systems in smart ports," *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev.*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 889–901, 2022, doi: 10.14710/ijred.2022.46300.
8. P. Rajesh, F. H. Shajin, and G. Kannayeram, "A novel intelligent technique for energy management in smart home using internet of things," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 128, p. 109442, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2022.109442.
9. P. Xie, et al., "Optimization-based power and energy management system in shipboard microgrid: A review," *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 578–590, Mar. 2022, doi: 10.1109/JSYST.2020.3047673.
10. S. B. Issa Zadeh, M. D. Esteban Perez, J.-S. López-Gutiérrez, and G. Fernández-Sánchez, "Optimizing smart energy infrastructure in smart ports: A systematic scoping review of carbon footprint reduction," *J. Mar. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 11, no. 10, p. 1921, 2023, doi: 10.3390/jmse11101921.