GEORGE BROWN PRESS
JOURNALS, BOOKS & PROCEEDINGS

International Journal of Engineering Advances

Review

Sustainable Construction Management: Integrating Carbon Reduction into Planning, Design, and Project Delivery

Xun Wen [1,*]

[1] Shenzhen Xinchuang Shangrun Enterprise Consulting Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China
* Correspondence: Xun Wen, Shenzhen Xinchuang Shangrun Enterprise Consulting Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China

Abstract: As global climate change becomes an increasingly pressing issue, the construction industry plays a significant role in carbon emissions, making carbon reduction measures a key focus for its development. This review explores the primary methods and innovations for integrating carbon reduction strategies into construction management, focusing on the planning, design, and construction phases. The paper first discusses the core concepts of sustainable construction management, detailing the integration of carbon reduction goals throughout the construction lifecycle and the role of industry standards and policies. It then examines the importance of carbon footprint assessments and carbon budgeting models during the project planning phase, and the integration of low-carbon design principles, including green building design, material selection, and energy efficiency optimization. In the construction phase, modular building, green construction technologies, and digital tools are identified as effective means of reducing carbon emissions. Finally, the paper highlights the challenges the industry faces in carbon reduction, such as regulatory issues, cost barriers, and data transparency, while pointing out emerging opportunities like green finance and carbon trading. It also outlines future research directions, including full lifecycle carbon tracking and smart construction technologies.

Keywords: sustainable construction management; carbon reduction; green building; lifecycle carbon tracking; low-carbon construction

Received: 19 April 2025
Revised: 25 April 2025
Accepted: 06 May 2025
Published: 08 May 2025

1. Introduction

The construction industry is one of the largest contributors to global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, accounting for approximately 38% of total energy-related CO_2 emissions worldwide. These emissions arise not only from operational energy use in buildings but also from embodied carbon in construction materials, transportation, and on-site activities. As nations strive to meet the targets set by the Paris Agreement and other climate accords, the role of construction in mitigating carbon emissions has become increasingly critical.

Sustainable construction management (SCM) has emerged as a comprehensive approach to reducing environmental impacts throughout the project lifecycle — from planning and design to delivery and operation. Integrating carbon reduction strategies into construction management practices is no longer optional but essential. This integration requires a systematic understanding of carbon flows across different project stages and the deployment of innovative tools and techniques that align with low-carbon objectives [1].

In recent years, a growing body of research has addressed the importance of embedding carbon-conscious thinking into construction processes, yet many studies remain fragmented across different phases or disciplines. This review consolidates existing knowledge on carbon reduction in the built environment, highlights key strategies employed across planning, design, and construction stages, and identifies emerging technologies and systemic challenges that shape the future of sustainable construction management. By synthesizing these insights, we aim to inform decision-making, encourage interdisciplinary collaboration, and guide future research toward more resilient and climate-aligned construction practices.

2. Conceptual Framework of Sustainable Construction Management

Sustainable Construction Management (SCM) refers to the integration of environmental, social, and economic sustainability principles into the management of construction projects across their entire lifecycle. Unlike traditional construction management, which prioritizes cost, time, and quality, SCM emphasizes resource efficiency, ecological responsibility, and long-term resilience. The concept has evolved significantly over the past two decades, driven by increasing regulatory pressure, stakeholder awareness, and the global urgency to address climate change [2].

At the core of SCM is the recognition that carbon reduction must be embedded into every phase of the construction lifecycle — beginning from strategic planning and conceptual design, through material selection and procurement, to on-site construction, delivery, operation, and even end-of-life deconstruction. Each phase presents both challenges and opportunities for reducing carbon footprints. For instance, early-stage design decisions can determine up to 80% of a building's future emissions, underscoring the importance of early intervention and integrated decision-making frameworks.

A variety of global standards and certification systems have been established to operationalize sustainability and carbon goals in the built environment. Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED), the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM), and the ISO 14001 family of environmental management systems are among the most widely recognized. These frameworks provide guidance on setting sustainability benchmarks, measuring carbon performance, and promoting best practices in areas such as energy efficiency, waste minimization, and indoor environmental quality. Increasingly, these standards are being adapted to align with national carbon neutrality roadmaps and lifecycle-based environmental assessments.

Together, these concepts and instruments form the foundational basis for a systematic, accountable, and forward-looking approach to managing construction in a carbon-constrained world. Understanding this framework is essential for aligning project-level decisions with broader climate and sustainability targets [3].

3. Carbon Reduction Strategies in the Planning Phase

The planning phase represents a critical window of opportunity to influence the long-term carbon performance of construction projects. Decisions made during this early stage — such as site selection, layout planning, and infrastructure alignment — can significantly affect both embodied and operational emissions throughout the lifecycle of a building or infrastructure project. Therefore, integrating carbon reduction strategies at the planning level is essential for enabling effective sustainability outcomes.

One of the most impactful approaches is the evaluation of carbon footprints during project feasibility studies. Preliminary carbon assessments can help identify high-emission components, compare alternative design concepts, and support informed decision-making from the outset. These assessments are often based on simplified life cycle thinking or benchmarking data, and they guide choices related to site selection, building massing, and material assumptions [4].

In parallel, land use planning and transportation accessibility play a crucial role in reducing indirect emissions. Optimizing the spatial organization of projects to minimize travel distances, promote public transport access, and enable compact, mixed-use development can significantly cut carbon associated with mobility and utility infrastructure.

Spatial data tools, such as GIS-based transport models, are increasingly used to support such analysis.

A further strategy is the adoption of carbon budgeting in the early phases of project planning. This involves setting predefined emission caps or intensity targets that guide all subsequent design and delivery decisions. Carbon budgets serve not only as performance benchmarks but also as planning constraints that embed low-carbon thinking into procurement, scheduling, and resource allocation.

Table 1 summarizes the main carbon reduction strategies typically employed in the planning phase:

Table 1. Key Carbon Reduction Strategies in the Planning Phase.

Strategy	Application Stage	Primary Function	Carbon Reduction Mechanism	Typical Tools/Methods
Preliminary Carbon Assessment	Feasibility Study	Early identification of high-emission options	Informs decisions on materials, massing, siting	Simplified LCA, Emission Benchmarking
Land Use and Mobility Planning	Early Master Planning	Optimize spatial and transport integration	Reduces emissions from travel and infrastructure	GIS Tools, Transport Modeling
Carbon Budgeting	Strategic Planning	Define carbon performance boundaries	Allocates emission quotas across lifecycle stages	Carbon Budget Models, Policy Alignment

In support of these strategies, a range of analytical tools and models have been developed to enhance planning-stage decision-making. Table 2 offers a comparative view of commonly used tools, their functions, and practical considerations:

Table 2. Common Tools and Models for Carbon-Informed Planning.

Tool/Model	Primary Function	Application Phase	Advantages	Limitations
Simplified LCA	Estimate embodied and operational carbon	Feasibility and pre-design	Fast, cost-effective, supports early trade-offs	Lower accuracy compared to full LCA
Carbon Budget Model	Allocate carbon limits across project phases	Strategic planning	Clear targets, supports accountability	Requires robust baseline data
GIS-Based Transport Analysis	Evaluate site accessibility and emissions	Site planning and mobility	Spatially rich, integrates land use and transport	Dependent on local data availability

By implementing these strategies and tools during the planning phase, project teams can establish a strong foundation for carbon-conscious construction management that aligns with long-term sustainability goals.

4. Low-Carbon Design Integration

The design phase serves as a pivotal point in determining a project's long-term carbon performance. Key decisions related to spatial form, materials, systems integration, and energy strategies are often finalized during this stage, making it essential to embed low-carbon principles into the design process from the outset [5,6].

A fundamental shift in contemporary design practice involves the adoption of green building principles and digital tools that enable performance-based decision-making. Building Information Modeling (BIM), Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), and Digital Twin technologies are increasingly used to model, predict, and optimize the carbon footprint of buildings [7]. These tools not only improve design precision and coordination but also allow designers to simulate various material and system alternatives before construction begins, enabling data-driven sustainability choices.

Material selection and energy performance optimization are central to low-carbon design. Prioritizing low-embodied-carbon materials — such as recycled steel, sustainably sourced timber, or geopolymer concrete — can significantly reduce upstream emissions. Simultaneously, integrating high-efficiency HVAC systems, smart lighting, and advanced insulation strategies ensures that operational energy demand is minimized over the building's lifecycle.

Another key strategy is passive design, which leverages climate-responsive architectural solutions such as natural ventilation, solar orientation, thermal mass, and shading systems to reduce energy use without mechanical input. When combined with renewable energy systems — such as photovoltaic panels, solar thermal collectors, or geothermal heating — buildings can dramatically reduce or even eliminate operational emissions [8].

Despite these advancements, low-carbon design often involves navigating trade-offs between multiple project goals, including functionality, aesthetics, cost, and emissions. As such, multi-objective optimization frameworks are increasingly used to evaluate different design configurations and identify solutions that balance performance criteria effectively.

The following Table 3 presents an overview of key digital tools and principles used in low-carbon design, highlighting their primary functions and application benefits:

Table 3. Key Tools and Approaches for Low-Carbon Design Integration.

Tool/Approach	Function in Low-Carbon Design	Design Stage	Key Benefits	Limitations
BIM (Building Information Modeling)	3D modeling, clash detection, material tracking	Concept to construction	Enhances coordination, links design with quantities	Requires training, software investment
LCA (Life Cycle Assessment)	Quantifies environmental impacts of materials/systems	Material and system selection	Informs material trade-offs, supports carbon comparisons	Data-intensive, may slow early design
Digital Twin	Real-time simulation of building performance	Design to operation	Enables dynamic testing of design strategies	High technical complexity
Passive Design Strategies	Reduce energy demand using climate-adaptive form	Early concept and schematic	Low operational emissions, low-tech solutions	Climate dependent, may limit form flexibility
On-site Renewable Energy	Generate clean energy from solar, wind, etc.	Detailed design	Offsets operational carbon, supports energy independence	Space and budget constraints

Incorporating these methods not only improves environmental outcomes but also enhances long-term project resilience, operational efficiency, and user satisfaction. As digital technologies and material science continue to evolve, the integration of low-carbon design principles is becoming both more feasible and more critical across building typologies.

5. Project Delivery and Construction Phase Innovations

The construction phase is a key point in the realization of a project's sustainability goals, where operational practices, technologies, and materials must come together to minimize carbon emissions while meeting project delivery requirements. With increasing pressure to meet carbon reduction targets, construction management must integrate innovative strategies that address both on-site performance and supply chain efficiency [9].

Green construction technologies and on-site carbon management are at the forefront of reducing emissions during the construction process. Technologies such as electric or hybrid construction equipment, low-carbon concrete, and energy-efficient site management practices can substantially reduce the carbon footprint of construction activities. Additionally, on-site carbon management strategies — such as careful waste segregation, recycling of materials, and minimizing energy consumption through the use of renewable energy sources — are essential to minimizing direct emissions [10]. Carbon monitoring tools and real-time reporting systems are also being adopted to track emissions during construction, providing valuable data to project teams for continual optimization.

Another innovative approach to reducing construction-phase emissions is the use of modular and prefabricated building methods. These methods not only shorten construction times but also significantly reduce material waste and transportation emissions. By manufacturing components off-site in controlled environments, construction teams can improve precision and reduce the carbon intensity of on-site activities. Prefabrication also allows for the use of more sustainable materials that may not be feasible for traditional on-site construction. Furthermore, the reduction in on-site labor and machinery use leads to lower overall carbon emissions, contributing to both cost savings and environmental benefits [11].

Effective supply chain carbon management and collaborative platforms are also essential in reducing emissions across the entire project delivery cycle. By tracking emissions from raw material extraction, transportation, and manufacturing, supply chain managers can identify high-carbon hotspots and select lower-carbon alternatives. Collaborative digital platforms that connect all stakeholders — from suppliers to contractors — enable better coordination, allowing for real-time data sharing and emissions tracking across the supply chain. This helps mitigate potential inefficiencies and waste that contribute to excessive emissions [12,13].

Finally, digital technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are increasingly being leveraged to optimize carbon reduction during the construction phase. IoT sensors can be used to monitor environmental conditions, track equipment performance, and manage energy usage on-site. AI-driven analytics platforms can predict energy demand, optimize resource allocation, and identify inefficiencies that can be addressed in real time. This allows for dynamic adjustments to construction processes, reducing carbon emissions and improving overall project sustainability.

6. Operation and Maintenance Phase: Continuous Energy Optimization

The operation and maintenance (O&M) phase represent the longest stage of a building's lifecycle and accounts for a substantial proportion of its total carbon emissions. To effectively manage carbon output during this phase, continuous monitoring and optimization of building energy consumption are essential. Building Information Modeling (BIM) integrated with facility management (FM) platforms enables dynamic tracking of energy performance over time.

A comprehensive framework that integrates the BIM-FM model, an intelligent energy management system (EMS), and a carbon emission modeling tool can effectively link energy usage with carbon output. This integration facilitates real-time diagnostics, anomaly detection, and performance benchmarking across systems. Over time, artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms can be applied to analyze energy consumption patterns, predict peak demand, and suggest retrofitting or operational changes to enhance energy efficiency. These data-driven optimizations help reduce operational emissions, improve energy utilization, and enhance the long-term carbon reduction performance of buildings.

7. Deconstruction Phase: Waste Management and Carbon Tracking

The end-of-life phase of buildings, often overlooked in carbon management strategies, presents a critical opportunity to reduce lifecycle emissions through effective waste handling and material reuse. A structured approach to deconstruction focuses on material classification, selective dismantling, and the tracking of construction and demolition (C&D) waste throughout the process.

Establishing a waste traceability system enables project teams to identify recyclable and reusable materials, improving recovery rates and reducing landfill contributions. Integrating this system with carbon accounting tools allows for precise calculation of the carbon footprint associated with demolition activities. By quantifying the embodied carbon in reclaimed materials and assessing their reuse potential, the deconstruction process can significantly contribute to lifecycle carbon mitigation. Ultimately, the adoption of low-carbon demolition practices and circular economy principles ensures that carbon reduction extends beyond the operational life of buildings.

8. Challenges, Opportunities, and Future Directions

As the construction industry moves towards greater sustainability and carbon reduction, several significant challenges must be addressed in order to fully realize the potential of low-carbon construction. These challenges span regulatory, financial, and technological domains, and their resolution will require coordinated action across all sectors of the industry.

One of the primary obstacles in advancing sustainable construction is the lack of comprehensive regulation and enforcement. While some regions have made significant strides with building codes and carbon reduction goals, there is still a significant gap in regulatory frameworks that enforce low-carbon practices across the entire construction lifecycle. The inconsistent application of standards and the slow pace of regulatory adaptation to emerging technologies mean that industry stakeholders often lack clear guidance on how to implement effective carbon reduction strategies. Furthermore, cost concerns remain a major barrier. While low-carbon technologies and materials can lead to long-term savings, the upfront investment required is often seen as prohibitive, especially in markets where short-term costs are prioritized over long-term sustainability. Additionally, data transparency is a critical challenge, as the lack of standardized reporting and carbon accounting systems limits the ability of construction firms to track emissions accurately and make informed decisions.

Despite these challenges, the industry is also witnessing the emergence of significant opportunities. Green finance initiatives, such as sustainable bonds and green loans, are creating financial incentives for companies to invest in low-carbon projects. These financial tools, coupled with government subsidies and incentives, are helping to reduce the financial burden of adopting green construction practices. Furthermore, the growing emphasis on carbon trading presents new opportunities for the construction sector to participate in global carbon markets. Companies that successfully reduce their carbon emissions could potentially generate revenue by selling carbon credits, further incentivizing emissions reductions at the project level.

Looking to the future, several key research directions will be critical for advancing the adoption of low-carbon construction. One of the most promising areas of study is full lifecycle carbon tracking. By developing more sophisticated and accurate methods for measuring carbon emissions across the entire construction lifecycle — ranging from raw material extraction to end-of-life disposal — researchers and practitioners can identify key intervention points for further emissions reductions. Another critical area for exploration is smart construction technologies, particularly the integration of artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and building automation systems. These technologies hold great potential for optimizing building operations in real time, reducing energy consumption, and enhancing operational efficiency. Finally, policy integration remains a key area of research. Effective collaboration between industry stakeholders and policymakers will be essential for creating regulatory frameworks that are both flexible and responsive to technological advancements in construction. This research could lead to the development of policy incentives that encourage innovation and speed up the transition to a low-carbon built environment.

In conclusion, while the path towards sustainable construction is fraught with challenges, the growing opportunities and the increasing focus on technological innovation present a promising future for the industry. By continuing to address regulatory, financial, and data-related barriers, the construction sector can play a pivotal role in achieving global carbon reduction targets.

9. Conclusion

The integration of carbon reduction into construction management is not only a critical response to the global climate crisis but also a pathway towards more sustainable and resilient built environments. As explored throughout this review, the key value of embedding carbon reduction into the planning, design, and delivery of construction projects lies in its potential to reduce long-term environmental impacts, optimize resource efficiency, and contribute to meeting global carbon neutrality targets.

The strategies and trends highlighted in this review emphasize the importance of early-stage carbon planning, low-carbon design integration, and innovative construction practices. From adopting green technologies and digital tools such as BIM, LCA, and Digital Twins, to implementing energy-efficient design and construction methods like modular building and prefabrication, the opportunities for reducing carbon emissions at every stage of the project lifecycle are considerable. Additionally, supply chain carbon management, coupled with collaborative platforms and advanced monitoring systems, provides essential tools for achieving greater transparency and efficiency in reducing emissions across the entire construction process.

Looking towards the future, continued innovation will be key. Emerging technologies such as IoT, AI, and advanced lifecycle carbon tracking systems will enable even more precise and effective emissions reductions. Moreover, as the industry evolves, the integration of green finance mechanisms and the expansion of carbon trading markets offer new incentives and economic drivers to support sustainable construction practices.

To ensure continued progress, there are several recommendations for key stakeholders:

1) For Policymakers: Governments must create clear, consistent regulatory frameworks that incentivize sustainable construction practices. Policies should encourage innovation while providing financial support for green technologies and low-carbon projects, particularly through incentives such as green bonds, carbon credits, and subsidies for sustainable building practices. Furthermore, policymakers should prioritize the integration of carbon reduction goals into building codes and planning regulations, ensuring that sustainability is embedded throughout the construction process.
2) For Industry Professionals: Construction firms, architects, engineers, energy management specialists, and contractors should continue to adopt and implement advanced technologies and sustainable practices, such as digital modeling, smart construction tools, and energy-efficient materials. Collaboration across the supply chain is essential to ensuring that emissions reductions are achieved at every stage of the project. Industry stakeholders should also invest in upskilling the workforce, ensuring that professionals are equipped with the knowledge and skills required to implement low-carbon strategies effectively.
3) For Academia and Researchers: The academic community plays a crucial role in advancing the research and development of new technologies and methodologies for carbon reduction in construction. Researchers should focus on improving carbon tracking systems, exploring the full lifecycle impacts of building ma-

terials, and studying the integration of smart technologies in construction. Collaboration with industry partners will be essential to ensuring that research outcomes are practically applicable and can be scaled for widespread use.

In conclusion, reducing carbon emissions in construction management is a multifaceted challenge that requires coordinated efforts across all sectors. By combining innovation in design, construction practices, and digital technologies with supportive policies and collaboration, the industry can significantly reduce its carbon footprint and contribute to global sustainability goals.

References

1. H. Jaradat, O. A. M. Alshboul, I. M. Obeidat, and M. K. Zoubi, "Green building, carbon emission, and environmental sustainability of construction industry in Jordan: Awareness, actions and barriers," *Ain Shams Eng. J.*, vol. 15, no. 2, p. 102441, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2023.102441.
2. L. Chen et al., "Green building practices to integrate renewable energy in the construction sector: a review," *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 751–784, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s10311-023-01675-2.
3. E. Kusi, I. Boateng, and H. Danso, "Energy consumption and carbon emission of conventional and green buildings using building information modelling (BIM)," *Int. J. Build. Pathol. Adapt.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/IJBPA-09-2023-0127.
4. S. Mahmood, H. Sun, A. A. Alhussan, A. Iqbal, and E. S. M. El-Kenawy, "Active learning-based machine learning approach for enhancing environmental sustainability in green building energy consumption," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 19894, 2024, doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-70729-4.
5. K. Batool, G. Ali, K. U. Khan, M. A. Kamran, and N. Yan, "Integrating role of green buildings in achieving carbon neutrality in an era of climate emergency," *Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 4186–4201, 2024, doi: 10.1002/sd.2883.
6. K. S. Mulya et al., "Decarbonizing the high-rise office building: A life cycle carbon assessment to green building rating systems in a tropical country," *Build. Environ.*, vol. 255, p. 111437, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2024.111437.
7. O. I. Olanrewaju, W. I. Enegbuma, and M. Donn, "Operational, embodied and whole life cycle assessment credits in green building certification systems: Desktop analysis and natural language processing approach," *Build. Environ.*, p. 111569, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2024.111569.
8. O. Alharasees et al., "Green building energy: Patents analysis and analytical hierarchy process evaluation," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. e29442, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29442.
9. M. Karamoozian and H. Zhang, "Obstacles to green building accreditation during operating phases: identifying Challenges and solutions for sustainable development," *J. Asian Archit. Build. Eng.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 350–366, 2025, doi: 10.1080/13467581.2023.2280697.
10. Y. Wang and L. Liu, "Research on sustainable green building space design model integrating IoT technology," *PLoS One*, vol. 19, no. 4, p. e0298982, 2024, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0298982.
11. Y. A. Lawal, J. A. Sanwoolu, O. T. Adebayo, and O. I. Olateju, "Enhancing sustainability in project management through smart technology integration: A case study approach to green building projects," *Dutch J. Finance Manag.*, vol. 7, no. 2, p. 32823, 2024, doi: 10.55267/djfm/15772.
12. Y. Liu, W. Pedrycz, M. Deveci, and Z. S. Chen, "BIM-based building performance assessment of green buildings—A case study from China," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 373, p. 123977, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2024.123977.
13. S. Arogundade, M. Dulaimi, and S. Ajayi, "Exploring the challenges impeding construction process carbon reduction in the UK," *Int. J. Constr. Manag.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 422–431, 2024, doi: 10.1080/15623599.2023.2257512.