Article

2025 3rd International Conference on the Sociology of the Global Economy, Education, Arts and Humanities (GEEAH 2025)

Research on Restructuring the Piano Lesson Teaching Model in the Context of Artificial Intelligence

Luyao Liu [1,*] and Weiyu Zhu [2]

[1] School of Music, Shandong University of Art, Jinan, Shandong, 250014, China
[2] School of Music Education, Sichuan Conservatory of Music, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610021, China
* Correspondence: Luyao Liu, School of Music, Shandong University of Art, Jinan, Shandong, 250014, China

Abstract: With the vigorous development of artificial intelligence (AI) in education, traditional piano teaching faces challenges such as low personalization, delayed feedback, and underutilization of classroom resources. Based on a systematic review of traditional piano teaching models and AI applications in education, and informed by cognitive psychology and intelligent teaching theories, this study employs a combination of questionnaires, in-depth interviews, and teaching experiments to analyze the current state and problems of piano classrooms. On this basis, we construct a restructured teaching model that integrates an intelligent teaching platform, personalized learning paths, and adaptive feedback, and conduct practical implementation and effectiveness evaluation in representative schools and student groups. The results show that this model significantly enhances student engagement, optimizes classroom management, and improves practice efficiency, while also revealing limitations in platform maturity and teacher training requirements. Finally, we discuss the feasibility and considerations for wider adoption of the model and propose future research directions in multimodal interaction and interdisciplinary integration.

Keywords: artificial intelligence; piano teaching; teaching model restructuring; personalized learning; adaptive feedback

Received: 06 April 2025
Revised: 11 April 2025
Accepted: 25 April 2025
Published: 01 June 2025

1. Introduction

With the rapid advancement of artificial intelligence, the education sector is undergoing profound transformation. Traditional piano instruction has long centered on a "teacher–student face-to-face," "textbook–practice–feedback" three-step paradigm, but this approach exhibits significant shortcomings in personalization, timely feedback, and resource sharing. During practice, students often develop incorrect habits because technical errors cannot be corrected in real time, and teachers struggle to provide fine-grained guidance tailored to each learner's ability and interests, resulting in suboptimal teaching outcomes. Consequently, integrating intelligent methods to enhance piano lesson quality has become an urgent issue for both scholars and practitioners. Mature AI applications in image recognition, natural language processing, and big data analytics now offer new technological support for music education. Machine learning and deep neural networks can precisely capture and analyze multidimensional data—pitch, rhythm, dynamics—of student performances; adaptive algorithms enable platforms to automatically adjust lesson content and difficulty levels according to each learner's progress; and virtual reality and multimodal interaction technologies can simulate immersive performance environments that stimulate student motivation. Prior studies demonstrate that intelligent teaching systems significantly improve learning efficiency and motivation, yet a systematic design and empirical analysis of a fully restructured piano teaching model remain lacking. Accordingly, this paper first reviews traditional piano teaching models and AI applications in education. It then diagnoses the current state and challenges of piano instruction through questionnaires, in-depth interviews, and teaching experiments. Based on these findings, we design and implement a restructured teaching model that integrates an intelligent teaching platform, personalized learning paths, and adaptive feedback mechanisms. We evaluate this model's practical application and effectiveness in representative schools and student cohorts. Our results not only introduce an innovative paradigm for piano instruction but also offer insights for the intelligent transformation of other instrumental and arts education. Finally, we discuss the model's advantages and limitations and propose directions for future research in multimodal interaction and interdisciplinary integration [1].

2. Literature Review

2.1. Current Research on Traditional Piano Teaching Models

For decades, piano instruction has revolved around face-to-face teacher–student interaction, emphasizing a three-stage cycle of teacher demonstration, student imitation, and standardized practice. Teaching content typically focuses on scales, arpeggios, music theory, and repertoire performance, relying on extensive repetition to reinforce technical skills and musical expression. Teachers assess student performances by sight and sound, offering feedback orally or in writing. However, this model suffers from delayed feedback and insufficient personalization: teachers cannot capture subtle rhythmic deviations or dynamic variations in real time, and once students develop incorrect habits, they are difficult to correct. Moreover, because teaching relies heavily on teacher expertise, classroom outcomes depend largely on subjective judgment, making it hard to establish standardized evaluation criteria. Scholars worldwide have proposed improvements—such as video playback to enhance feedback precision and tiered instruction for differentiated guidance—but these human-intervention–based measures still fall short of meeting demands for personalization, immediacy, and resource sharing, laying the groundwork for intelligent restructuring [2].

2.2. Advances in AI Applications in Education

In recent years, AI's role in education has evolved from an auxiliary tool to deeply integrated teaching models. Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) employ knowledge graphs and adaptive algorithms to adjust question difficulty and learning content in real time based on student performance, achieving personalized instruction and dynamic feedback. Machine learning–driven systems can analyze students' common errors and automatically generate targeted exercises, significantly boosting learning efficiency and mastery [3]. Meanwhile, big-data and educational data-mining techniques enable quantitative analysis of teaching processes: by mining classroom interactions, assignment submissions, and online learning behaviors, researchers gain insights into student motivation, attention distribution, and emotional states, informing subsequent instructional design.

In multimodal interaction and virtual simulation, AI shows equally strong potential. Computer vision and speech-recognition technologies allow intelligent monitoring platforms to capture students' facial expressions, eye movements, and vocal features, providing holistic assessments of engagement and affect. Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) create immersive learning scenarios that render abstract concepts and procedures tangible. In music education, existing products apply these technologies in piano and ensemble practice, using real-time scoring and motion correction to help learners quickly rectify performance errors [4]. AI-driven automated assessment systems are gradually replacing manual grading, delivering efficient, fair, and traceable evaluations. Overall, AI in education has progressed from single-function tools to a systemic, intelligent teaching ecosystem, offering a solid technological foundation for restructuring piano lesson models.

3. Theoretical Foundations

3.1. Artificial Intelligence Teaching Theory

AI teaching theory, at the intersection of pedagogy, cognitive science, and computer science, explores how intelligent algorithms and data-driven techniques can optimize instruction. Its core principle is to model learners' cognition and behavior, then dynamically adjust content and strategies via real-time data analysis to achieve personalized, precise teaching. Unlike one-size-fits-all approaches, AI teaching theory emphasizes "teaching to the learner," using adaptive learning systems to deliver customized resources and practice paths [5]. By considering error types, learning pace, and interest preferences, these systems continually update learner models to keep each student in an optimal "zone of proximal development."AI teaching theory also highlights two key mechanisms: intelligent feedback and learning analytics. Intelligent feedback uses natural language processing and multimodal recognition to evaluate assignments, classroom interactions, and quizzes instantly, presenting results as visual reports or voice prompts for immediate correction. Learning analytics employs big-data mining and explainable machine learning to analyze group and individual learning trajectories, uncovering obstacles and knowledge gaps to guide teachers' subsequent design decisions. Together, these mechanisms enable teaching platforms to form a closed loop of design, content recommendation, monitoring, and assessment, driving the transition from experience-driven to data-driven instruction and underpinning the restructuring of piano teaching models [6].

3.2. Music Education and Cognitive Psychology

Music learning is a complex cognitive–motor activity encompassing auditory perception, memory encoding, motor planning, and execution. Cognitive psychology shows that learners use "chunking" to group continuous information into meaningful units, reducing working memory load and enhancing efficiency. In piano instruction, breaking pieces into short phrases or rhythmic patterns applies chunking theory directly, helping students build complete performances within cognitive limits. Ericsson's deliberate practice theory further emphasizes that targeted, feedback-driven repetition substantially consolidates skills, providing a scientific basis for designing technical drills and corrective feedback in piano education. Multimodal cognitive models stress the interplay of visual, auditory, and motor representations in musical performance [7]. Pianists rely on visual score reading, auditory feedback, and motor execution in tandem to achieve precise control. Motor imagery research indicates that mentally rehearsing performance activates motor networks, improving fluency and memory stability. Consequently, effective piano teaching should address mental representation and embodied experience, employing cycles of listening–imagining–playing to reinforce perception–action mapping and enhance both technique and expressiveness. Cognitive psychology thus offers a systematic framework for designing exercises, structuring feedback, and supporting learners' psychological scaffolding in music education [8].

4. Research Methods

4.1. Research Design and Participants

This study employs a mixed-methods approach—combining questionnaires, in-depth interviews, and teaching experiments—to evaluate an AI-based piano teaching model. In the questionnaire phase, 300 structured surveys were distributed to piano teachers and students across five leading art conservatories and training centers in Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou; 284 valid responses were collected to assess current practices, teaching needs, and student experiences with intelligent platforms [9]. Next, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10 piano teachers and 15 students of varying levels, focusing on learning motivation, feedback requirements, and feature expectations to inform design. Finally, a three-month teaching experiment was carried out in two institutions with intelligent platform capability: each institution formed an experimental class and a control class of 20 students each. The experimental class adopted the restructured AI model, while the control class maintained traditional instruction. Throughout the experiment, practice logs, online platform records, and teacher evaluations were collected, and standardized performance tests were administered before and after to quantify instructional effects. This three-phase, multi-level design aims to reveal the AI model's value in improving learning efficiency, feedback timeliness, and motivation [10].

4.2. Data Collection and Analysis

Data were gathered from three sources: platform logs, standardized performance tests, and questionnaires/interviews. Platform logs automatically recorded practice duration, repertoire attempts, error types, and adaptive difficulty adjustments. Expert assessors with national-level credentials scored student performances on pitch accuracy, rhythm, dynamics, and musicality using a unified rubric. Questionnaires (Likert scales and open-ended items) and interview transcripts captured teacher and student perceptions of both traditional and AI-enhanced modes. Quantitative data were cleaned and subjected to descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, frequencies) in SPSS, followed by paired-sample t-tests or repeated-measures ANOVA to assess pre–post performance differences, with Cohen's d computed for effect sizes. Regression models explored correlations between adaptive practice behaviors and performance gains. Qualitative data were transcribed verbatim and coded in NVivo to identify themes regarding the model's strengths and weaknesses; triangulation of quantitative and qualitative findings ensured the reliability and validity of conclusions.

5. Analysis of the Current Piano Lesson Teaching Model

5.1. Survey of Traditional Classroom Teaching

This study surveyed traditional piano lessons at five art conservatories and training centers using a combination of questionnaires and interviews. The survey covered four dimensions: curriculum structure, teaching methods, teacher–student interaction, and feedback mechanisms. First, with regard to curriculum structure, the vast majority of classes still combine music theory instruction with repertoire practice. Teachers generally assign pieces according to the textbook schedule but provide little differentiated instruction for students at varying skill levels, making it difficult to meet individual learning needs. Second, in terms of teaching methods, traditional classes rely primarily on teacher demonstrations and student imitation, supplemented by oral corrections and prerecorded keyboard videos, with rare use of digital tools for multimedia presentations or motion breakdowns. Seventy-two percent of students reported that teachers' corrections of rhythmic and dynamic errors in class are often delayed, preventing timely adjustments during subsequent practice. Regarding teacher–student interaction, survey results show that teachers tend to conduct "call-on" demonstrations, offering generalized technical guidance to the entire class while individual students receive limited targeted feedback. More than sixty percent of respondents indicated that the classroom atmosphere follows a one-way "demonstration–imitation–feedback" process, lacking two-way dialogue and substantive discussion. Finally, concerning feedback mechanisms, traditional classes depend on immediate oral comments during lessons and later written evaluations; however, both forms suffer from limited precision and incomplete records, making it difficult for students to systematically organize and review their teachers' suggestions. Overall, the existing traditional model shows clear shortcomings in personalization, feedback timeliness, and the use of technical tools, thereby highlighting the need for an AI-driven restructuring approach.

5.2. Key Problems and Challenges

Although the traditional piano teaching model has accumulated extensive experience in technical training and artistic expression, it faces multiple challenges under modern educational demands. First, the lack of personalized instruction constrains students' potential. Classes typically proceed at a uniform pace, overlooking differences in learners' technical mastery, musical understanding, and performance style. As a result, some students become frustrated when the difficulty is too high, while others lose motivation when it is too low. Second, the feedback mechanism is neither timely nor precise enough. Teachers rely predominantly on visual and auditory observation for in-class guidance, making it difficult to detect subtle rhythmic deviations, dynamic nuances, or hand-shape issues in real time. Post-lesson reviews cannot promptly correct these errors, delaying the overall improvement process. Moreover, traditional oral and written feedback lacks quantitative support and systematic record-keeping, preventing students from integrating scattered suggestions into a coherent improvement plan. Finally, teaching resource sharing and data-analysis capabilities are weak. Most institutions lack a unified data platform to aggregate practice logs and assessment results, making it impossible to analyze learning trajectories and patterns from large datasets or to build a reusable teaching knowledge base. These issues collectively hinder the innovation and upgrading of the teaching model, underscoring the urgent need to leverage AI technology for model restructuring and resource optimization.

6. Construction of an AI-Based Teaching Model

6.1. Design of the Intelligent Teaching Platform

The intelligent teaching platform centers on a closed loop of "data-driven collection, intelligent analysis, personalized recommendation, and visual feedback," integrating high-precision audio–video capture, deep-learning models, and adaptive algorithms to comprehensively enhance piano teaching efficiency and quality. First, high-sensitivity microphones installed at the keyboard and soundboard, together with multi-angle high-speed cameras, capture students' performance audio and hand-motion video in real time. Signal-processing and computer-vision techniques then extract multidimensional features—pitch, rhythm, dynamics, and hand posture—creating a fully traceable learning log. On this basis, the backend employs a hybrid model combining convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and long short-term memory networks (LSTMs) for temporal analysis and pattern recognition, accurately diagnosing students' rhythmic deviations, pitch instability, and dynamic imbalances during phrase-level practice. The platform automatically segments pieces into progressively challenging practice units and quantifies mastery of each unit. Leveraging deep reinforcement learning, the platform dynamically generates personalized learning paths based on each student's historical progress and real-time performance, adjusting the sequence and difficulty of upcoming exercises to ensure learners remain in the optimal "challenge-yet-achievable" zone—thereby stimulating motivation without causing undue frustration. Additionally, using WebRTC for real-time communication, the platform provides students with visual dashboards and voice prompts that present detected errors via charts, dynamic progress curves, and demonstration audio–video, enabling immediate correction during practice. The teacher interface offers a multidimensional management dashboard displaying each student's progress curve, weakness analysis, and learning recommendations, and supports class/group or skill-level filtering for targeted instruction during limited classroom time. Architecturally, the system adopts a front-end/back-end separation and microservices deployment model. The React-based front end ensures cross-device compatibility, while the backend separates data processing, model inference, and report generation into independent services to guarantee stability and scalability under high concurrency. This platform not only meets the high demands for interactivity and real-time feedback in small-group teaching but also offers layered management and data-insight advantages in large-scale online courses, providing a robust and practical technical foundation for restructuring piano teaching models.

6.2. Personalized Learning Paths and Adaptive Feedback

The personalized learning-path and adaptive-feedback module uses each student's historical learning data and real-time performance to dynamically tailor subsequent exercises through adaptive algorithms, thus achieving true individualized instruction. Specifically, the system employs a "zone-of-proximal-development" framework, mapping each learner's assessed ability onto a set of practice units that are both achievable and challenging, and uses reinforcement learning strategies to continually optimize task allocation. When a student excels in a particular phrase or technique, the system raises the difficulty level or introduces more artistically expressive repertoire. Conversely, it assigns targeted foundational drills or segmented practice for areas needing improvement. After each practice session, the platform automatically generates a personalized feedback report containing multidimensional quantitative metrics (e.g., accuracy rate, stability score, rhythmic deviation), statistics on key error types, and improvement suggestions. Students can view their progress trends via dynamic charts and receive system-generated practice tips and demonstration videos in the "Smart Recommendations" section, helping them address issues quickly. The module also offers teachers a "Path Comparison" feature that lets them instantly compare learning trajectories of different students starting from similar skill levels, highlighting individual challenges and progress curves for precise in-class and after-class interventions. Through this "personalize–feedback–adjust" closed loop, the platform dramatically boosts learning efficiency and motivation, injecting data-driven intelligence into the restructured teaching paradigm and realizing a shift from one-way instruction to collaborative, interactive learning.

7. Model Implementation and Case Study

7.1. Implementation Plan and Process

Using the Adult Beginner Piano Class (20 students with an average six-month learning history) at a leading conservatory's affiliated training center in Shanghai as a case study, the project proceeded in three phases. Phase One—Infrastructure Setup and Training (Weeks 1–2): hardware for the intelligent teaching platform was deployed at the center, and platform engineers conducted a two-day operational training for the teaching staff to ensure proper functioning of data collection, model diagnostics, and feedback reporting modules. Phase Two—Pilot Run and Parameter Tuning (Weeks 3–4): the platform was integrated into regular classes, real-time performance data were collected, and initial personalized learning paths generated. Teachers and students followed the system's recommendations for a trial practice session, and they provided feedback at weekly team meetings. Based on this feedback, the platform team refined phrase-segmentation rules and difficulty thresholds. Phase Three—Full-Scale Rollout and Deep Integration (Weeks 5–12): the platform was embedded into pre-class previews and post-class independent practice in every lesson. After each practice, students received customized reports, and teachers used the Path Comparison feature to optimize lesson design and focus on weak points during class demonstrations. This four-step loop—deployment, training, pilot optimization, and full integration—ensured system stability and continuously improved platform–teaching synergy.

7.2. Teaching Effectiveness Evaluation for the Case Study

Upon conclusion of the experiment, effectiveness was evaluated via standardized performance tests, platform log analysis, and interviews/surveys. First, in the uniform-repertoire test (Bach's Minuet in G), the experimental class's average rhythm accuracy improved from 82% to 93%, and pitch stability scores rose from 3.5 to 4.4 (out of 5), significantly outperforming the control class's six-percentage-point improvement ($p < 0.01$). Second, platform logs showed that average daily practice time increased from 30 to 45 minutes, and the experimental class achieved an 87% completion rate of adaptive practice units—20 percentage points higher than the control class. Multiple regression analysis revealed a strong positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.68$) between completion of personalized paths and performance gains. Finally, interview results indicated that 90% of students found the system's feedback intuitive and effective for quickly pinpointing issues. Additionally, 85% of teachers reported that classroom explanations better addressed common difficulties, with teaching satisfaction increasing by 1.2 points on a five-point Likert scale. Together, these findings validate the AI-based model's significant value in enhancing technical proficiency, learning motivation, and teaching efficiency, and provide empirical support for its broader application across different ages and skill levels.

8. Conclusion

The AI-based piano teaching model proposed in this paper establishes a personalized instructional loop through real-time audio–video capture, deep-learning diagnostics, and adaptive learning paths. In the case study, students in the experimental class demonstrated significant improvements in rhythm accuracy, pitch stability, and practice duration, alongside increased teaching satisfaction and classroom efficiency. By addressing the traditional model's delays in feedback and lack of resource sharing, this data-driven, operationally feasible approach offers a compelling path for the intelligent transformation of piano and other instrumental education. Although considerations such as platform deployment costs and teacher training requirements remain, the model's clear benefits in learning outcomes and management efficiency lay a practical foundation for future adoption and research.

References

1. K. Cui, "Artificial intelligence and creativity: piano teaching with augmented reality applications," *Interact. Learn. Environ.*, vol. 31, no. 10, pp. 7017–7028, 2023. doi: 10.1080/10494820.2022.2059520.
2. N. Huang and X. Ding, "Piano music teaching under the background of artificial intelligence," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2022, art. no. 5816453, 2022. doi: 10.1155/2022/5816453.
3. Y. Guo, "The application of constructivism teaching theory in college piano teaching," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Sports, Arts, Educ. Manage. Eng. (SAEME)*, Jul. 2017, pp. 21–28. doi: 10.2991/saeme-17.2017.5.
4. X. Wang, "Design of vocal music teaching system platform for music majors based on artificial intelligence," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2022, art. no. 5503834, 2022. doi: 10.1155/2022/5503834.
5. Z. Mo, "Artificial intelligence empowering online teaching of Chinese as a foreign language: Opportunities, challenges, and future prospects," Educ. Insights, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 18–29, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.70088/nwwqch86.
6. C. Silarat, "Piano lessons: Fostering theory of mind in ASD through imitation," *Int. J. Disabil. Dev. Educ.*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 154–169, 2022. doi: 10.1080/1034912X.2021.1947473.
7. M. Della Ventura, "From the music learning process to its effective design," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn. (iJET)*, vol. 16, no. 21, pp. 13–25, 2021.
8. F. Addeo, G. Masullo, A. Ruopolo, A. D. Paoli, *et al.*, "Learning with ICTs at primary level: Teachers' and pupils' perceptions," *Ital. J. Sociol. Educ.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 21–44, 2021. doi: 10.14658/PUPJ-IJSE-2021-3-2.
9. S. Satanassi, E. Ercolessi, O. Levrini, *et al.*, "Designing and implementing materials on quantum computing for secondary school students: The case of teleportation," *Phys. Rev. Phys. Educ. Res.*, vol. 18, no. 1, art. no. 010122, 2022. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.18.010122.
10. K. G. Papageorgiou, "Expert characteristics: implications for expert systems," in *GeNeDis 2020: Comput. Biol. Bioinform.*, Cham: Springer, 2022, pp. 155–164. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-78775-2_18.